

AMERICAN
CASEBOOK
SERIES

MODERN
CRIMINAL LAW
CASES, COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

Fifth Edition



Wayne R. LaFave

WEST

ISBN-13: **978-0-314-21011-1**

04/28/11 15:17



9 780314 210111

90000



MODERN CRIMINAL LAW CASES, COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS


Fifth Edition

■ ■ ■

By

Wayne R. LaFave

*David C. Baum Professor of Law Emeritus and
Center for Advanced Study Professor Emeritus
University of Illinois*



AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®

WEST®

A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

American Casebook Series is a trademark registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1978, 1988 WEST PUBLISHING CO.

© West, a Thomson business, 2001, 2006

© 2011 Thomson Reuters

610 Opperman Drive

St. Paul, MN 55123

1-800-313-9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-0-314-21011-1

WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus,
University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law,
The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus,
University of California,
Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University
Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Professor of Law,
Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

***To
Marissa Grace***

*“Perfect love sometimes does not
come until grandchildren”*

~ Welsh proverb

PREFACE TO THE FIFTH EDITION

This new fifth edition of *Modern Criminal Law* is being published just five years since the fourth edition became available. During that interval, in part as a result of my work on a new fifth edition of my *Criminal Law* hornbook and on annual pocket parts to the second edition of my three-volume *Substantive Criminal Law* treatise, it became apparent to me that many very recent cases and commentary could well be used to further enrich this collection of materials.

This fifth edition thus differs from its predecessor largely in the addition to the Notes and Questions throughout the book of many excerpts from newer cases and law review writings. These additions, it must be emphasized, have not been undertaken simply to replace previous material with other material, whether cases or secondary authority, of more recent vintage. On the contrary, older materials were retained except when the substituted (and, typically, more recent) item appeared to better serve the objectives of this book (further discussed herein). Summaries of over seventy new cases were added where they exposed and examined new problems, where they reexamined old problems in an interesting new way, or when the problems considered were presented in a more contemporary context, thus making it easier for students to appreciate their exact nature and current importance. More than sixty new article excerpts were added so that students could benefit from the illuminating and provocative writings that, collectively, make up a very impressive body of contemporary criminal law scholarship.

For the most part, the principal cases appearing herein are the same as those in the fourth edition; only five of the principal cases are new. Also, the basic organization of the Book has not changed, except for reversing the order of the last two sections in Chapter 6. While approximately ten per cent of the contents of this Book is new material, by selective deletions and judicious editing it has been possible to keep this fifth edition about the same size as its immediate precursor.

This casebook is intended for use in a basic course on the substantive criminal law, which at most law schools is a required part of the first year curriculum. In selecting, editing, and organizing these materials, I have sought to bring together a collection of cases and secondary sources which will serve what I perceive to be the primary functions of such a course. These are: (1) together with the other first-year courses, to provide training in the case method and techniques of legal analysis; (2) also with the other first year courses, to afford the student with new insights into the fundamental question of how and to what extent the law can be effectively utilized as an

instrument of social control; (3) to compare and evaluate the actual and potential contributions of legislatures (through codification), courts (through the common law and by “interpretation” of statutes) and administrators (by certain enforcement policies) in defining and grading crimes; (4) to provide the fundamental information and impart those skills which are the necessary foundation for criminal law practice, either as a prosecutor or defense counsel; (5) to provide an awareness of the inherent difficulties in trying to control antisocial behavior through the criminal law without encroaching upon basic democratic values, an appropriate matter of concern to all members of the legal profession.

This Book does not attempt to cover both substantive criminal law and criminal procedure. This is not to suggest that criminal procedure is unimportant. Rather, the assumption here is that both subjects are important parts of the law school curriculum, and that neither substantive criminal law nor criminal procedure should be shortchanged by compaction into a single course. There has been a growing realization that there is no particular advantage in teaching the two subjects together (any more than, say, combining torts and civil procedure); in recent years more and more schools have chosen to offer them separately.

It is important, however, for the student studying criminal law to have a general understanding of how the criminal process works. Thus, I have included herein textual material on that subject (Chapter 1, Section 1). Also, there are some aspects of substantive criminal law that simply cannot be meaningfully understood unless they are considered together with certain matters which, literally speaking, fall within the realm of criminal procedure. When this is the case, I have included the relevant procedural material.¹ Thus, for example, Chapter 6, dealing with mental disease or defect, treats incompetency to stand trial (which often is a “substitute” for an insanity defense) and various procedural aspects of the insanity defense.

The reach of the substantive criminal law is constantly expanding, and thus today’s law student is much more likely than his counterpart of some years ago to find himself engaged to some extent in the practice of criminal law after graduation. This practice may involve the prosecution of or defense against charges of such familiar crimes as robbery, battery and rape; it may instead or in addition be concerned with the increasing body of regulatory crimes which have been enacted in response to such contemporary concerns as consumer protection and environmental control. An adequate preparation for such practice requires an *understanding* of the fundamental bases of our system of substantive criminal law, rather than *knowledge* of the precise definitions of the growing list of crimes. Consequently the major emphasis in this casebook is upon what is usually referred to as the “general part” of the criminal law: mental state (Chapter 3) and act (Chapter 4); responsibility

1. On the other hand, I have not covered the subject of entrapment in this Book. Though strictly speaking it is a matter of substantive criminal law, it is more meaningful studied in the context of that part of criminal procedure dealing with restrictions upon police investigative practices.

(Chapters 6 and 7); justification and excuse (Chapter 8); inchoate crimes (Chapters 10 and 11); and liability for the conduct of another (Chapter 12).

It is my belief that imparting detailed information about many different crimes is not the goal of a basic course in criminal law. It is sometimes useful, however, to focus upon certain specific crimes or a category of crimes, which is why three of the twelve chapters in this casebook take such an approach. Chapter 2 looks mainly at cases concerning the so-called “crime against nature”; Chapter 5 has to do with criminal homicide; and Chapter 9 examines the law of rape. In each instance the focus serves to advance inquiry into matters of considerable importance—respectively, the sources, purposes and limits of the criminal law; the challenge in drawing meaningful differentiations between related crimes; and the dilemmas confronted in undertaking law reform of the substantive criminal law.

Over the years, the so-called “crime against nature” has been the subject of frequent and intense litigation about the meaning of this common law crime, about often-ambiguous statutes replacing or adding to the common law offense, and about the proper role of courts in broadening or elaborating upon either the judicially-or legislatively-created version of the crime. In more recent times, constitutional considerations have often played a part; the due process void-for-vagueness doctrine has been invoked in an effort to have some of these statutes invalidated, and various provisions in the Bill of Rights have been relied upon in an effort to support the claim that the conduct covered by certain “crime against nature” formulations cannot constitutionally be made punishable at all or in a certain degree or manner. As judicial elaborations and legislative reformulations of the “crime against nature” have occurred, there has naturally arisen the additional question of whether either detrimental or beneficial changes in either the definition of the crime or the extent of authorized punishment can or should be applied retroactively. So too, this offense has not infrequently been the object of litigation concerning the respective authority of state, federal and local lawmakers. What all the forgoing issues have in common is that they have to do with the sources and limits of the criminal law, which is why Chapter 2, aimed at those problems, relies mainly upon the “crime against nature” offense category in dealing with this array of problems.

With regard to Chapter 5, focusing upon criminal homicide, the rationale here is that it is important for students of the criminal law to consider not only the question of what conduct should be made criminal, but also the question of how various forms of criminal conduct should be classified into separate crimes so as to reflect their respective seriousness. Although this problem of classification arises in a great many areas of the criminal law, undoubtedly the courts and legislatures have experienced the greatest difficulty in articulating intelligent classifications in the area of homicide. Moreover, study of homicide provides a useful background to consideration of certain doctrines which come into play almost exclusively in a homicide context (e.g., the insanity defense) or which raise the most difficult issues in that context (e.g., the defenses of duress or self-defense).

In order to demonstrate some of the difficulties encountered in efforts at reform of the criminal law, Chapter 9 looks at the crime of rape, the focus of a great many reform efforts in the last decade or more. Both feminists and other law reformers have raised serious questions about the traditional substantive and procedural law on the subject of rape; they have complained that this body of law (a) reflects perspectives about the crime which have no contemporary legitimacy, and (b) contributes to low rates of arrest, prosecution and conviction for this offense. Much useful reform has occurred on the procedural side, and some has also occurred with respect to the substantive law of rape as well, although the changes there tend to be more controversial. The question of just how the substantive law of rape ought to be stated remains a live topic in many jurisdictions. Chapter 9, using highly controversial principal cases enriched with a great many excerpts from critical commentary, mostly from just the past few years, takes a look at the three areas of major continuing conflict: (i) what nature and degree of imposition—force, other coercion, fraud, or what—should suffice? (ii) when should either consent or nonconsent be presumed, and in other cases what should be taken to constitute a sufficient manifestation of nonconsent? (iii) what mental state, if any, should be required for the crime, especially with respect to a defendant mistaken belief in consent?

In Chapter 9 as well as elsewhere in this Book, I have attempted to place special emphasis upon the actual and potential contributions of the legislative branch in resolving the difficult policy questions which exist in the field of criminal law. (The matter is first confronted in Chapter 2, but is a recurring theme in the rest of the Book as well.) This emphasis takes proper account of the contributions which legislatures have made to the criminal law in recent years; a total of thirty-eight states have adopted new substantive criminal law codes.² Moreover, this emphasis aids in presenting the first-year student, often preoccupied with the common law in his other courses, with a more balanced picture of our legal system. Thus, while it is my belief that this Book is readily adaptable to a wide range of teaching styles, it is especially suited to those professors who have found it useful to require their students to work intensively with the Model Penal Code (major portions of which appear in the Appendix) and/or with the code of some particular jurisdiction.³

Although the subject of criminal law has not been “constitutionalized” to the same extent as criminal procedure, it is fair to say that there is some degree of overlap between the law school subjects of constitutional law and substantive criminal law. This gives rise to the very difficult question of how much constitutional law to include in a first-year criminal law course, which

2. Efforts directed toward similar reform have been undertaken but have faltered in some other states and on the federal level.

3. I have not infrequently required my students to have and work with the Illinois Criminal Code, not because I want them to learn the specifics of the law in this state, rather because I want to give them some experience in working closely with a *real* criminal code. (The Illinois code, like that in many of the states that heavily influenced by the Model Penal Code, but has over the years taken on considerable additional baggage as a result of frequent legislative revision.) Examination of such a warts-and-all code, I have found, presents the students with an experience closer to real life than exclusive examination of the Model Penal Code.

the authors of extant casebooks have resolved in quite different ways. It is my judgment that the constitutional limits on the substantive criminal law cannot be ignored in a criminal law course, for without some appreciation of those limitations the student cannot evaluate in a meaningful way alternative legislative proposals for dealing with various issues. On the other hand, it is hardly appropriate to subsume most of constitutional law into the criminal law offering, if for no other reason than that the beginning law student would probably be overwhelmed as a consequence. I have attempted to strike a fair balance. As noted earlier, I have in Chapter 2 focused on “crimes against nature” in inquiring into the constitutional limits of penal legislation. Beyond this, I have included a few Supreme Court decisions (e.g., *Powell v. Texas*; *Montana v. Egelhoff*) that raise very basic issues about the permissible reach of the substantive criminal law.⁴

The introductory chapter concludes with an inquiry into the purposes of the criminal law and, in particular, the considerations which ought to bear upon the imposition of a criminal sentence. This is done by a close examination of the sentencing decision in a pre-Sentencing Guidelines case by a federal judge who had theretofore written thoughtfully about the subject; the case is then revisited in light of procedures once mandated under the Guidelines, and then capped off by some sharp differences of opinion on the respective merits of Guidelines and pre-Guidelines sentencing law. Some sentencing issues are occasionally raised later in the Book, but in the main the matter of sentencing—Or, indeed, the broader subject of corrections—is not given major emphasis in this Book. This reflects the fact that the law of corrections has developed to the point where at many law schools it has become the basis of a separate course.⁵ Where such an offering is not available, an expanded treatment of corrections within the context of these materials can be readily achieved by examination of relevant parts of the Model Penal Code or some state penal or corrections code.

In selecting the major cases which appear in this Book, I have included those which, in my judgment, are most likely to stimulate an interesting and profitable classroom dialogue. That is, inclusion of a particular case does not necessarily rest upon the conclusion that it was rightly decided or that the court analysis was in all respects sound, but rather is grounded in the belief that the facts or the analysis or both provide a useful vehicle for classroom discussion.⁶ The book is intended as a teaching tool, not a research tool, and

4. One important constitutional limitation unique to criminal law is the requirement that the prosecution prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Consequently, I have given attention at several points in these materials to the constitutionality of putting some proof burdens on the defendant (i.e., the impact of *Mullaney v. Wilbur* and its progeny) or of “lightening” the prosecutor's burden by resort to inferences and presumptions (i.e., the impact of *Barnes v. United States* and related cases). However, a professor who feels that these matters should be reserved until later in the student's legal education will find it easy to omit the aforementioned cases and the few others of the same character without interrupting the flow of the remaining material.

5. Teaching materials on the subject are available. See, e.g. Lynn S. Branham & Michael Hamden, *The Law and Policy of Sentencing and Corrections* (8th ed.2009).

6. I have been less than successful over the years in convincing even my own students that this is the case, for some of them view a casebook as some collection of ultimate truths. Indeed, I've had difficulties of this kind with some professors as well!

thus the primary objective throughout has been to provide the teacher and student with a common source of material upon which they may interact in the classroom. As for the notes and questions following the principal cases, their purpose is not to upstage the teacher, but rather to direct the student's thoughts down certain avenues prior to class so that the class time may be utilized more effectively and efficiently. Sometimes this supplementary material will summarize another case either reflecting a somewhat different approach or point of view or presenting a somewhat different fact situation for "testing" the doctrines accepted in the principal cases.⁷ On many occasions the supplementary material consists of excerpts from illuminating or provocative writings in the law reviews, which either elaborate upon the doctrines of the principal case or present a different perspective on the matters at issue. Here again, there has been no attempt to select only excerpts that completely square with my own thinking about the topics under discussion.

Students desiring to do additional reading on the subject may wish to consult other sources. Many materials written specifically for students are available; I would mention here Wayne R. LaFave, *Criminal Law* (5th ed.2010), a 1,293-page hardcover text with considerable footnoting, which understandably matches up most closely with the coverage of this casebook; Wayne R. LaFave, *Principles of Criminal Law* (2d ed.2010), a more succinct and footnoteless 765 pp. paperback treatment; Joshua Dressler, *Understanding Criminal Law* (5th ed.2009), a 606-page paperback text with limited footnoting; Arnold H. Loewy, *Criminal Law in a Nutshell* (5th ed.2009), a 387-page paperback; and Paul H. Robinson, *Criminal Law* (1997), an 853-page hardcover text with footnotes. Also very useful are the many outstanding articles on a variety of substantive criminal law topics appearing in the four-volume *Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice* (2d ed.2001). On the question of how the problems discussed herein may best be dealt with through recodification, a primary source is the updated *Model Penal Code and Commentaries* published by the American Law Institute commencing in 1980 and ending in 1985.

Case citations in opinions and footnotes of the courts and commentators have been omitted without so specifying. Numbered footnotes are from the original materials; my footnotes are lettered.

I wish to extend my thanks to the many users of the prior editions of this Book who provided helpful advice and suggestions; to the many copyright holders who graciously permitted me to include herein excerpts from various works (see list of Acknowledgments on pages following); to Dean Bruce P. Smith and others at the College of Law, University of Illinois, for continuing support of my research endeavors; and to my wife Loretta, without whose ongoing support and encouragement I would not have completed this Book

7. Not infrequently, there will be an inquiry as to what the result should be on the facts stated, followed by or footnoted with a citation to the case containing those or similar facts. This is not intended to suggest that it is either necessary or desirable that the student consult the cited case in preparing for class. An evaluation of the fact situation provided should be possible on the basis of the immediately preceding material in this Book.

(or, for that matter, much of anything else), and who would have this Book dedicated to her but for the fact that I have chosen a much younger lady to whom we are both devoted.

Wayne R. LaFave

January, 2011

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am especially grateful to The American Law Institute for permission to include as an Appendix the Model Penal Code, copyright 1985 by The American Law Institute, and to include portions of the updated Commentary, copyright 1980 and 1985, reprinted with permission of the American Law Institute. I am also grateful to the following for permission to reproduce extracts from the works indicated:

ALBANY LAW REVIEW: Steven Belenko, *The Challenges of Integrating Drug Treatment Into the Criminal Justice Process*, 63 Alb.L.Rev. 833 (2000).

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION JOURNAL: George Goldberg, *Misprision of Felony: An Old Concept in a New Context*, 52 A.B.A.J. 148 (1966); Herbert Wechsler, *The American Law Institute: Some Observations on Its Model Penal Code*, 42 A.B.A.J. 321 (1956).

AMERICAN BAR FOUNDATION: Arnold Enker, *Mens Rea and Criminal Attempt*, 1977 A.B.F.Res.J. 845; Arthur A. Matthews, *Mental Disability and the Criminal Law* (1970).

AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW: Ronald Allen & Lee Ann DeGrazia, *The Constitutional Requirement of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt in Criminal Cases*, 20 Am.Crim.L.Rev. 1 (1982); Stephen Saltzburg, *Burdens of Persuasion in Criminal Cases: Harmonizing the Views of the Justices*, 20 Am.Crim.L.Rev. 393 (1983).

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW: David M. Treiman, *Recklessness and the Model Penal Code*, 9 Am.J.Crim.L. 281 (1981); David Robinson, Jr., *Powell v. Texas: The Case of the Intoxicated Shoeshine Man—Some Reflections a Generation Later by a Participant*, 26 Am.J.Crim.L. 401 (1999); Tom Stacy, *Acts, Omissions and the Necessity of Killing Innocents*, 29 Am.J.Crim.L. 481 (2002).

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF TRIAL ADVOCACY: Note, 20 Am.J.Trial Advoc. 381 (1997).

BERKELEY JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW: Lynn Adelman & Jon Deitrich, *Marvin Frankel's Mistakes and the Need to Rethink Federal Sentencing*, 13 Berkeley J.Crim.L. 239 (2008).

THE BOBBS-MERRILL COMPANY: Jerome Hall, *General Principles of Criminal Law* (2d ed.1960); Roy Moreland, *Law of Homicide* (1952).

BOSTON COLLEGE LAW REVIEW: Note, 46 B.C.L.Rev. 149 (2004), copyright © 2004 by Boston College Law School.

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW: Stanislaw Pomorski, *On Multiculturalism, Concepts of Crime, and the "De Minimis" Defense*, 1997 B.Y.U.L.Rev. 51.

BROOKLYN LAW REVIEW: Patricia J. Falk, *Rape by Fraud and Rape by Coercion*, 64 Brook.L.Rev. 39 (1998).

BUFFALO CRIMINAL LAW REVIEW: Vera Bergelson, *Victims and Perpetrators: An Argument for Comparative Liability in Criminal Law*, 8 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 385 (2005); Vivian Berger, *Rape Law Reform at the Millennium*, 3 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 513 (2000); David P. Bryden, *Redefining Rape*, 3 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 317 (2000); Alan Wertheimer, *What is Consent? And is It Important?*, 3 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 557 (2000).

BUTTERWORTHS: K.J.M. Smith, *A Case of Reckless Murder*, 123 New L.J. 792 (1973); G.R. Sullivan, *Self Induced and Recurring Automatism*, 123 New.L.J. 1093 (1973).

PROF. NAOMI CAHN: Naomi R. Cahn, *Pragmatic Questions About Parental Liability Statutes*, 1996 Wis.L.Rev. 399.

CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW: Larry Alexander, *Insufficient Concern: A Unified Conception of Criminal Culpability*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 931 (2000); Anne E. Coughlin, *Excusing Women*, 82 Calif.L.Rev. 1 (1994); Meir Dan-Cohen, *Basic Values and the Victim State of Mind*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 759 (2000); Joshua Dressler, *Does One Mens Rea Fit All? Thoughts on Alexander's Unified Conception of Criminal Culpability*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 955 (2000); Kent Greenawalt, *Dignity and Victimhood*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 779 (2000); Phillip E. Johnson, *The Unnecessary Crime of Conspiracy*, 61 Calif.L.Rev. 1137 (1973); Sanford H. Kadish, *Fifty Years of Criminal Law: An Opinionated Review*, 87 Calif.L.Rev. 943 (1999), 2000, 1999, 1994 and 1973 by California Law Review, Inc., reprinted by permission.

CARDOZA WOMEN LAW JOURNAL: Andrew Seligsohn, *Choosing Liberty Over Equality and Sacrificing Both: Equal Protection and Due Process in Lawrence v. Texas*, 10 Cardozo Women L.J. 411 (2004).

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW: Joshua Dressler, *Where We Have Been, and Where We Might be Going: Some Cautionary Reflections on Rape Law Reform*, 46 Clev.St.L.Rev. 409 (1998).

COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW: Katherine M. Franke, *The Domesticated Liberty of Lawrence v. Texas*, 104 Colum.L.Rev. 1399 (2004); Francis B. Sayre, *Public Welfare Offenses*, 33 Colum.L.Rev. 55 (1933); Notes, 93 Colum.L.Rev. 1223 (1993); 86 Colum.L.Rev. 348 (1986); 75 Colum.L.Rev. 1517 (1975); 47 Colum.L.Rev. 1332 (1947).

CORNELL LAW REVIEW: Nelson E. Roth & Scott E. Sundby, *The Felony-Murder Rule: A Doctrine at Constitutional Crossroads*, 70 Cornell L.Rev. 446 (1985).

CRIMINAL LAW FORUM: James J. Gobert, *The Fortuity of Consequence*, 4 Crim. L.F. . . 1 (1993).

CUMBERLAND LAW REVIEW: Comment, 27 Cumb.L.Rev. 1279 (1997).

DEPAUL LAW REVIEW: Lior J. Strahilevitz, *Consent, Aesthetics and the Boundaries of Sexual Privacy After Lawrence v. Texas*, 54 DePaul L.Rev. 671 (2005);

Dierdre A. Burgman, *Unilateral Conspiracy: Three Critical Perspectives*, 29 DePaul L.Rev. 75 (1979).

DUKE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW: Christopher H. Schroeder, *Two Methods for Evaluating Duty to Rescue Proposals*, 49 Law & Contemp.Probs 181 (1986); Kent Greenawalt, *Distinguishing Justifications from Excuses*, 49 Law & Contemp.Probs. 89 (1986).

FOOD DRUG COSMETIC LAW JOURNAL: Marsha Cohen, *Enforcement Under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act*, 30 Food Drug Cosmetic L.J. 5 (1975).

FORDHAM LAW REVIEW: Christopher Slobogin & Amy Mashburn, *The Criminal Defense Lawyer's Fiduciary Duty to Clients with Mental Disability*, 68 Fordham L.Rev. 1641 (2000); Comment, 45 Fordham L.Rev. 553 (1976); Note, 66 Fordham L.Rev. 1029 (1997).

FORDHAM URBAN LAW JOURNAL: Kay L. Levine, *No Penis, No Problem*, 33 Fordham L.J. 357 (2006).

GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL: Lawrence M. Solan, *Private Language, Public Laws: The Central Role of Legislative Intent in Statutory Interpretation*, 93 Geo.L.J. 427 (2005); Notes, 87 Geo.L.J. 2431 (1999), reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown Law Journal 2005, 1999, 1972.

HARCOURT BRACE JOVANOVICH, INC.: Benjamin Cardozo, *Law and Literature* (1931).

HARVARD JOURNAL OF LAW AND GENDER: Andrew E. Taslistz, *Willfully Blinded: On Date Rape and Self-Deception*, 28 Harv.J.L. & Gender 381 (2005); Ellen Waldman & Marybeth Herald, *Eyes Wide Shut: Erasing Women's Experiences from the Clinic to the Courtroom*, 28 Harv.J.L. & Gender 285 (2005).

HARVARD LAW REVIEW: Dan M. Kahan, *Is Chevron Relevant to Federal Criminal Law?*, 110 Harv.L.Rev. 469 (1996); James M. Landis, *A Note on "Statutory Interpretation,"* 43 Harv.L.Rev. 886 (1930); Alan C. Michaels, *Constitutional Innocence*, 112 Harv.L.Rev. 828 (1999); Laurence H. Tribe, *The "Fundamental Right" That Dare Not Speak Its Name*, 117 Harv.L.Rev. 1893 (2004); *Developments in the Law—Criminal Conspiracy*, 72 Harv.L.Rev. 920 (1968); *Developments—Sexual Orientation and the Law*, 102 Harv.L.Rev. 1508 (1989), Note, 118 Harv.L.Rev. 2858 (2005), copyright © 1930, 1968, 1989, 1996, 1999 and 2005 by the Harvard Law Review Association.

HASTINGS LAW JOURNAL: Michelle J. Anderson, *Marital Immunity, Intimate Relationship, and Improper Inferences: A New Law on Sexual offenses by Intimates*, 54 Hastings L.J. 1465 (2003), © 2003 by University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

HILL AND WANG (NOW OF FARRAR, STRAUS & GIROUX, INC.): Marvin E. Frankel, *Criminal Sentences* (1972), copyright © 1972, 1973 by Marvin E. Frankel.

INDIANA LAW REVIEW: Daniel R. Karon, *Winning Isn't Everything, It's the Only Thing: Violence in Professional Sports: The Need for Federal Regulation and Criminal Sanctions*, 25 Ind.L.Rev. 147 (1991).

IOWA LAW REVIEW: Michael T. Cahill, *Attempt by Omission*, 94 Iowa L.Rev. 1207 (2009); Eric A. Johnson, *Criminal Liability for Loss of a Chance*, 91 Iowa L.Rev. 59 (2005).

JOURNAL OF APPELLATE PRACTICE AND PROCESS: Brent E. Newton, *The Antiquated "Slight Evidence Rule" in Federal Conspiracy Cases*, 1 J.App.Prac. & Process 49 (1999).

JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW AND CRIMINOLOGY: Todd S. Aagaard, *A Fresh Look at the Responsible Relation Doctrine*, 96 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1245 (2006); Ronald J. Allen, *Montana v. Egelhoff—Reflections on the Limits of Legislative Imagination and Judicial Authority*, 87 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 633 (1997); Marcus Dirk Dubber, *Policing Possession: The War on Crime and the End of Criminal Law*, 91 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 829 (2001); Kimberly Kessler Ferzan, *Opaque Recklessness*, 91 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 587 (2001); Marvin R. Gardner, *Rethinking Robinson v. California in the Wake of Jones v. Los Angeles: Avoiding the "Demise of the Criminal Law" by Attending to "Punishment,"* 98 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 429 (2008); Douglas N. Husak, *Justifications and the Criminal Liability of Accessories*, 80 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 491 (1989); Sanford H. Kadish, *Reckless Complicity*, 87 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 369 (1997); Yale Kamisar, *Physician Assisted Suicide: The Problems Presented by the Compelling, Heartwrenching Case*, 88 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1121 (1998); Stephen J. Morse & Morris B. Hoffman, *The Uneasy Entente Between Legal Insanity and Mens Rea: Beyond Clark v. Arizona*, 97 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1071 (2007), all reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology.

JOURNAL OF HEALTH CARE LAW AND POLICY: Comment, 13 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 495 (2010).

JOURNAL OF TRANSNATIONAL LAW & POLICY: Alan Reed, *Duress and Provocation as Excuses to Murder: Salutory Lessons from Recent Anglo-American Jurisprudence*, 6 J.Trans.L. & Pol 51 (1996).

KANSAS LAW REVIEW: Note, Kan.L.Rev. 272 (1974).

KENTUCKY LAW JOURNAL: Roy Moreland, *A Reexamination of the Law of Homicide in 1971: the Model Penal Code*, 59 Ky.L.J. 788 (1971).

LAW AND CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS: Stephen J. Morse, *Addiction, Genetics, and Criminal Responsibility*, 60 Law & Contemp.Probs. 165 (Winter/Spring 2006).

MACMILLAN REFERENCE USA: Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., *Criminal Justice System*, in 2 *Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice* 450 (1983).

PROF. PAUL MARCUS: Paul Marcus, *Criminal Conspiracy: The State of Mind Crime*, 1976 U.Ill.L.F. 627.

MARQUETTE LAW REVIEW: Comment, 89 Marq.L.Rev. 693 (2006).

McGEORGE LAW REVIEW: J. Cross Creason, *Eliminating the Use of Civil Compromise in Cases of Domestic Violence, Elder Abuse, and Child Abuse*, 29 McGeorge L.Rev. 641 (1998).

MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW: Dan M. Kahan, *Ignorance of the Law is an Excuse—But Only for the Virtuous*, 96 Mich.L.Rev. 127 (1997); Marc Spindelman, *Surviving Lawrence v. Texas*, 102 Mich.L.Rev. 1615 (2004).

MINNESOTA LAW REVIEW: Beverly Balos & Mary Louise Fellows, *Guilty of the Crime of Trust: Nonstranger Rape*, 75 Minn.L.Rev. 599 (1991); Dale Carpenter, *Is Lawrence Libertarian?*, 88 Minn.L.Rev. 1140 (2004); Joshua Dressler, *Why Keep the Provocation Defense? Some Reflections on a Difficult Subject*, 86 Minn.L.Rev. 959 (2002); Arnold Enker, *Impossibility in Criminal Attempts—Legality and the Legal Process*, 53 Minn.L.Rev. 665 (1969).

MODERN LAW REVIEW: Alec Samuels, *Excusable Loss of Self-Control in Homicide*, 34 Mod.L.Rev. 163 (1971).

NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL: Austin Wehrwein, *“Samaritan” Law Poses Difficulties*, Nat’l L.J., Aug. 22, 19083.

THE NEW YORK TIMES: Editorial, June 18, 1976; Articles, June 17, June 19, July 3, Sept. 2, Sept. 15, Sept. 17, 1976, copyright © by The New York Times Company.

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY REVIEW OF LAW AND SOCIAL CHANGE: Richard Uviller, *Seizure by Gunshot: the Riddle of the Fleeing Felon*, 14 N.Y.U.Rev.L. & Soc.Chg. 705 (1986).

NORTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW: Alifair S. Burke, *Rational Actors, Self-Defense, and Duress: Making Sense, Not Syndromes, Out of the Battered Woman*, 81 N.C.L.Rev. 211 (2002), reprinted with the permission of the North Carolina Law Review.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW: Kate Stith & Jose A. Cabranes, *Judging Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 91 Nw.U.L.Rev. 1247 (1997), reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law.

NOTRE DAME LAW REVIEW: *Recent Decision*, 59 Notre Dame L.Rev. 253 (1983).

OHIO STATE JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW: Eric A. Johnson, *Beyond Belief: Rethinking the Role of Belief in the Assessment of Culpability*, 3 Ohio St.J.Crim.L. 503 (2006).

OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL: Cass Sunstein, *Liberty After Lawrence*, 65 Ohio St.L.J. 1059 (2004).

PROF. IRA ROBBINS: Ira P. Robbins, *Double Inchoate Crimes*, 26 Harv.J.Legis. 1 (1989).

ROGER WILLIAMS UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW: Harold J. Krent, *Should Bouie v. Buoyed?: Judicial Retroactive Lawmaking and the Ex Post Facto Clause*, 3 Roger Williams U.L.Rev. 35 (1997).

RUTGERS LAW JOURNAL: Note, 21 Rutgers L.J. 669 (1990).

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW: Michelle S. Anderson, *Negotiating Sex*, 78 S.Cal.L.Rev. 1401 (2005); Joshua Dressler, *Exegesis of the Law of Duress: Justifying the Excuse and Searching for its Proper Limits*, 62 So.Cal.L.Rev.

1331 (1989), reprinted with the permission of the Southern California Law Review.

SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW: Arnold H. Loewy, *Rape in a Post Lawrence v. Texas World*, 58 SMU L.Rev. 77 (2005), reprinted with permission from the SMU Law Review and the Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law.

STANFORD LAW REVIEW: Mark Kelman, *Interpretive Construction in the Substantive Criminal Law*, 33 Stan.L.Rev. 591 (1981); Robert Misner, *The New Attempt Laws: Unsuspected Threat to the Fourth Amendment*, 33 Stan.L.Rev. 201 (1981), copyright 1981 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.

ST. LOUIS UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL: Frank O. Bowman, *Fear of Law: Thoughts on Fear of Judging and the State of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 44 St.Louis U.L.J. 299 (2000).

STETSON LAW REVIEW: Comment, 34 Stetson L.Rev. 729 (2005).

SUPREME COURT REVIEW: Larry Alexander, *The Supreme Court, Dr. Jekyll, and the Due Process of Proof*, 1996 Sup.Ct.Rev. 191, copyright by the University of Chicago Press.

SWEET & MAXWELL, LTD.: Glanville Williams, *Criminal Law—The General Part* (2d ed.1961); Richard Buxton, *Incitement and Attempt*, 1973 Crim.L.Rev. 656; D.W. Elliott, *Offences Against the Person under*, 1977 Crim.L.Rev. 70; Donald Stuart, *The Actus Reus in Attempts*, 1970 Crim.L.Rev. 505.

TEMPLE LAW REVIEW: Daniel G. Moriarty, *Extending the Defense of Renunciation*, 62 Temple L.Rev. 1 (1989).

TEXAS JOURNAL OF WOMEN AND THE LAW: Nancy Kubasek, *The Case Against Prosecutions for Prenatal Drug Abuse*, 8 Tex.J.Women & L. 167 (1999), © Texas Journal of Women and the Law, 1999.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS LAW REVIEW: Grant H. Morris & Reid Meloy, *Out of Mind? Out of Sight: The Uncivil Commitment of Permanently Incompetent Criminal Defendants*, 27 U.C. Davis L.Rev. 1 (1993), copyright 1993 by The Regents of the University of California; reprinted with permission.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS: Herbert Packer, *Mens Rea and the Supreme Court*, 1962 Sup.Ct.Rev. 107, copyright © 1962 by The University of Chicago.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW: Robert A. Burt & Norval Morris, *A Proposal for the Abolition of the Incompetency Plea*, 40 U.Chi.L.Rev. 66 (1972); Edward H. Levi, *An Introduction to Legal Reasoning*, 15 U.Chi.L.Rev. 501 (1948); V. F. Nourse, *Self-Defense and Subjectivity*, 68 U.Chi.L.Rev. 1235 (2001).

U.C.L.A. LAW REVIEW AND FRED B. ROTHMAN & CO.: Norman Abrams, *Criminal Liability of Corporate Officers for Strict Liability Offenses—A Comment on Dotterweich and Park*, 28 U.C.L.A.L.Rev. 463 (1981); Comment, 33 U.C.L.A.L.Rev. 1679 (1986).

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA JOURNAL OF LAW AND PUBLIC POLICY: Henry F. Fradella, *From Insanity to Beyond Diminished Capacity: Mental Illness and Criminal Excuse in the Post-Clark Era*, 18 U.Fla.J.L. & Pub.Pol'y 7 (2007), used with permission from the University of Florida Journal of Law of Public Policy © 2007.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS LAW REVIEW: Richard Epstein, *Living Dangerously: A Defense of Mortal Peril*, 1998 U.Ill.L.Rev. 909; William N. Eskridge, *Hardwick and Historiography*, 1999 U.Ill.L.Rev. 631; David Orentlicher, *The Alleged Distinction Between Euthanasia and the Withdrawal of Life-Sustaining Treatment: Conceptually Incoherent and Impossible to Maintain*, 1998 U.Ill.L.Rev. 837; Monrad Paulsen, *Intoxication as a Defense to Crime*, 1961 U.Ill.L.F. 1; Frank J. Remington & Victor G. Rosenblum, *The Criminal Law and the Legislative Process*, 1960 U.Ill.L.F. 481.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA JOURNAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: Comment, 7 U.Pa.J.Const.L. 311 (2004).

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA LAW REVIEW: Dan M. Kahan, *Culture, Cogitation, and Consent: Who Perceives What, and Why, in Acquaintance Rape Cases?*, 158 U.Pa.L.Rev. 729 (2010); Leo Katz, *Proximate Cause in Michael Moore's Act and Crime*, 142 U.Pa.L.Rev. 1513 (1994); Stephen J. Schulhofer, *The Feminist Challenge in Criminal Law*, 143 U.Pa.L.Rev. 2151 (1995); Comments, 143 U.Pa.L.Rev. 2251 (1995); 121 U.Pa.L.Rev. 120 (1972); Notes, 109 U.Pa.L.Rev. 67 (1960); 106 U.Pa.L.Rev. 1021 (1958).

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH LAW REVIEW: Robert A. Skilton, *The Requisite Act in a Criminal Attempt*, 3 U.Pitt.L.Rev. 308 (1937).

VIRGINIA JOURNAL OF SOCIAL POLICY & THE LAW: Robert Batey, *Vagueness and the Construction of Criminal Statutes—Balancing Acts*, 5 Va.J.Soc.Pol. & L. 1 (1997); Heidi Kitrosser, *Meaningful Consent: Toward New Generation of Statutory Rape Laws*, 4 Va.J.Soc.Pol. & L. 287 (1997); Note, 5 Va.J.Soc.Pol'y & L. 213, 237–38 (1997).

VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW: P. Browning Hoffman & Robert C. Dunn, *Beyond Rouse and Wyatt: An Administrative Law Model for Expanding and Implementing the Mental Patient's Right to Treatment*, 161 Va.L.Rev. 297 (1975); Jeffries, John Calvin, *Legality, Vagueness, and the Construction of Penal Statutes*, 71 Va.L.Rev. 189 (1985); Note, 72 Va.L.Rev. 619 (1986).

WARREN, GORHAM & LAMONT: Donald T. Lunde & Thomas E. Wilson, *Brainwashing as a Defense to Criminal Liability: Patty Hearst Revisited*, 13 Crim.L.Bull. 341 (1977).

WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW ASSOCIATION: Notes, 52 Wash.L.Rev. 142 (1976).

WIDENER LAW REVIEW: Arthur S. Leonard, *Thoughts on Lawrence v. Texas*, 11 Widener L.Rev. 131 (2005).

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW: Robert Misner, *Minimalism, Desuetude, and Fornication*, 35 Willamette L.Rev. 1 (1999).

WILLIAM AND MARY BILL OF RIGHTS JOURNAL: Paul Marcus, *Criminal Conspiracy Law: Time to Turn Back From an Ever Expanding, Ever More Troubling Area*, 1 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 1 (1992).

WILLIAM MITCHELL LAW REVIEW: Note, 35 Wm.Mitchell L.Rev. 1162 (2009).

WISCONSIN LAW REVIEW: Frank J. Remington & Orrin L. Helstad, *The Mental Element in Crime—Legislative Problem*, 1952 Wis.L.Rev. 644; Comment, 1956 Wis.L.Rev. 641, copyright by the University of Wisconsin.

JOHN WRIGHT & SONS, LTD.: D. O'Connor, *The Voluntary Act*, 15 Med.Sci. Law 31 (1975).

YALE LAW JOURNAL: Susan Estrich, *Teaching Rape Law*, 102 Yale L.J. 509 (1992); Susan Estrich, *Rape*, 95 Yale L.J. 1087 (1986); Abraham Goldstein, *Conspiracy to Defraud the United States*, 68 Yale L.J. 405 (1959); Graham Hughes, *Criminal Omissions*, 67 Yale L.J. 590 (1958); Neal Kumar Katyal, *Conspiracy Theory*, 112 Yale L.J. 1307 (2003); Notes, 101 Yale L.J. 919 (1992); 70 Yale L.J. 916 (1958), reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal.

YALE UNIVERSITY PRESS: Abraham Goldstein, *The Insanity Defense* (1967).

TABLE OF CASES

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are in roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

-
- Aaron, *People v.*, 409 Mich. 672, 299 N.W.2d 304 (Mich.1980), 334
- Abedi, *People v.*, 156 Misc.2d 904, 595 N.Y.S.2d 1011 (N.Y.Sup.1993), 763
- Adams, *People v.*, 9 Ill.App.3d 61, 291 N.E.2d 54 (Ill.App. 5 Dist.1972), 515
- Adams, State v.**, 225 Conn. 270, 623 A.2d 42 (Conn.1993), **867**
- Aiken, *People v.*, 4 N.Y.3d 324, 795 N.Y.S.2d 158, 828 N.E.2d 74 (N.Y.2005), 513
- Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68, 105 S.Ct. 1087, 84 L.Ed.2d 53 (1985), 425
- Akers, State v.**, 119 N.H. 161, 400 A.2d 38 (N.H.1979), **883**
- Albernaz v. United States, 450 U.S. 333, 101 S.Ct. 1137, 67 L.Ed.2d 275 (1981), 339, 767
- Alex v. State, 127 P.3d 847 (Alaska App.2006), 236
- Alexander, *People v.*, 39 Mich.App. 607, 197 N.W.2d 831 (Mich.App.1972), 553
- Allen, *People v.*, 92 N.Y.2d 378, 681 N.Y.S.2d 216, 703 N.E.2d 1229 (N.Y.1998), 811
- Allen, *People v.*, 56 Ill.2d 536, 309 N.E.2d 544 (Ill.1974), 342
- Allen v. State, 970 A.2d 203 (Del.Supr.2009), 830
- Allen, *State v.*, 387 Md. 389, 875 A.2d 724 (Md.2005), 341
- Alexander, *United States v.* 471 F.2d 923, 959, 968 (D.C.Cir. 1972), 455
- Allsip, *People v.*, 268 Cal.App.2d 830, 74 Cal. Rptr. 550 (Cal.App. 5 Dist.1969), 862
- Alston, State v.**, 310 N.C. 399, 312 S.E.2d 470 (N.C.1984), **599**
- Alvarez, *United States v.*, 548 F.2d 542 (5th Cir.1977), 721
- Amlotte v. State, 456 So.2d 448 (Fla.1984), 666
- Anderberg, *State v.*, 89 S.D. 75, 228 N.W.2d 631 (S.D.1975), 847
- Anderson, *State v.*, 972 P.2d 32 (Okla.Crim. App.1998), 534
- Anderson v. Superior Court in and for Alameda County, 78 Cal.App.2d 22, 177 P.2d 315 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1947), 772
- Anderson v. United States, 417 U.S. 211, 94 S.Ct. 2253, 41 L.Ed.2d 20 (1974), 755
- Andrews, *United States v.*, 75 F.3d 552 (9th Cir.1996), 856
- Andujar, *State v.*, 899 A.2d 1209 (R.I.2006), 809
- Anthony, *State v.*, 151 N.H. 492, 861 A.2d 773 (N.H.2004), 841
- Apollo Energies, Inc., *United States v.*, 611 F.3d 679 (10th Cir.2010), 187
- A & P Trucking Co., *United States v.*, 358 U.S. 121, 79 S.Ct. 203, 3 L.Ed.2d 165 (1958), 898
- Armstard, *State v.*, 991 So.2d 116 (La.App. 2 Cir.2008), 223
- Arndt, *People v.*, 50 Ill.2d 390, 280 N.E.2d 230 (Ill.1972), 325
- Arnold, *People v.*, 17 Ill.App.3d 1043, 309 N.E.2d 89 (Ill.App. 3 Dist.1974), 290
- Arnold, *People v.*, 3 Ill.App.3d 678, 279 N.E.2d 436 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1972), 183
- Ascolillo, *Commonwealth v.*, 405 Mass. 456, 541 N.E.2d 570 (Mass.1989), 654
- Ashburn, *Commonwealth v.*, 459 Pa. 625, 331 A.2d 167 (Pa.1975), 317
- Atencio, *Commonwealth v.*, 345 Mass. 627, 189 N.E.2d 223 (Mass.1963), 360
- Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304, 122 S.Ct. 2242, 153 L.Ed.2d 335 (2002), 382
- Attardo, *State v.*, 263 S.C. 546, 211 S.E.2d 868 (S.C.1975), 153
- Auburn, City of v. Hedlund, 165 Wash.2d 645, 201 P.3d 315 (Wash.2009), 874
- Azadian, *United States v.*, 436 F.2d 81 (9th Cir.1971), 864
- Babcock v. State, 485 S.W.2d 85 (Mo.1972), 864
- Baca, *State v.*, 124 N.M. 333, 950 P.2d 776 (N.M.1997), 731
- Backun v. United States, 112 F.2d 635 (4th Cir.1940), 836
- Bacon, *State v.*, 163 Vt. 279, 658 A.2d 54 (Vt.1995), 336
- Bailey v. Commonwealth, 229 Va. 258, 329 S.E.2d 37 (Va.1985), 865
- Bailey v. United States, 516 U.S. 137, 116 S.Ct. 501, 133 L.Ed.2d 472 (1995), 65
- Bailey, *United States v.*, 444 U.S. 394, 100 S.Ct. 624, 62 L.Ed.2d 575 (1980), 336
- Bailey v. United States**, 416 F.2d 1110, 135 U.S.App.D.C. 95 (D.C.Cir.1969), **823**

- Baker, State v., 50 Ohio App.2d 68, 361 N.E.2d 519, 4 O.O.3d 46 (Ohio App. 10 Dist.1976), 44
- Balano, United States v., 618 F.2d 624 (10th Cir.1979), 915
- Balint, United States v., 258 U.S. 250, 42 S.Ct. 301, 66 L.Ed. 604 (1922), 185
- Ball, People v., 58 Ill.2d 36, 317 N.E.2d 54 (Ill.1974), 559
- Bantum v. State, 46 Del. 487, 85 A.2d 741 (Del.Supr.1952), 320
- Bargain City v. Dilworth, 29 U.S.L. Week 2002 (Pa.Ct.Com.Pl. June 10, 1960), 48
- Barker, United States v., 546 F.2d 940, 178 U.S.App.D.C. 174 (D.C.Cir.1976), 202
- Barker, United States v., 514 F.2d 208, 168 U.S.App.D.C. 312 (D.C.Cir.1975), 202
- Barnes v. United States**, 412 U.S. 837, 93 S.Ct. 2357, 37 L.Ed.2d 380 (1973), **150**
- Batiste, State v., 410 So.2d 1055 (La.1982), 346
- Beale, State v.**, 299 A.2d 921 (Me.1973), **140**
- Beaudry, State v.**, 123 Wis.2d 40, 365 N.W.2d 593 (Wis.1985), **875**
- Bechtel v. State**, 840 P.2d 1 (Okla.Crim.App. 1992), **518**
- Bedder v. DPP, 1954 WL 15180 (HL 1954), 282
- Beneficial Finance Co., Commonwealth v.**, 360 Mass. 188, 275 N.E.2d 33 (Mass. 1971), **888**
- Bennett, United States v., 460 F.2d 872, 148 U.S.App.D.C. 364 (D.C.Cir.1972), 429
- Bergman v. Lefkowitz, 569 F.2d 705 (2nd Cir. 1977), 26
- Bergman, People v., 57 A.D.2d 749, 395 N.Y.S.2d 872 (N.Y.A.D. 1 Dept.1977), 25
- Bergman, People v., 55 A.D.2d 542, 389 N.Y.S.2d 589 (N.Y.A.D. 1 Dept.1976), 25
- Bergman, United States v.**, 416 F.Supp. 496 (S.D.N.Y.1976), **15**
- Berrigan, United States v., 482 F.2d 171 (3rd Cir.1973), 699
- Berry, Commonwealth v., 461 Pa. 233, 336 A.2d 262 (Pa.1975), 289
- Berry, People v.**, 134 Cal.Rptr. 415, 556 P.2d 777 (Cal.1976), **286**
- Berry v. Superior Court (People), 208 Cal. App.3d 783, 256 Cal.Rptr. 344 (Cal.App. 6 Dist.1989), 319
- Bessette, Commonwealth v., 351 Mass. 148, 217 N.E.2d 893 (Mass.1966), 751
- Beul v. ASSE Intern., Inc., 233 F.3d 441 (7th Cir.2000), 590
- B.H., State v., 183 N.J. 171, 870 A.2d 273 (N.J.2005), 577
- Bianco, Commonwealth v., 388 Mass. 358, 446 N.E.2d 1041 (Mass.1983), 362
- Bias, State v., 156 W.Va. 569, 195 S.E.2d 626 (W.Va.1973), 321
- Bishop v. State**, 257 Ga. 136, 356 S.E.2d 503 (Ga.1987), **535**
- Bishop, State v., 632 S.W.2d 255 (Mo.1982), 495
- Blache, Commonwealth v., 450 Mass. 583, 880 N.E.2d 736 (Mass.2008), 661
- Blumenthal v. United States, 332 U.S. 539, 68 S.Ct. 248, 92 L.Ed. 154 (1947), 771
- Boan, State v., 235 Kan. 800, 686 P.2d 160 (Kan.1984), 405
- Bobenhouse, State v., 166 Wash.2d 881, 214 P.3d 907 (Wash.2009), 863
- Bogdanov v. People**, 941 P.2d 247 (Colo. 1997), **828**
- Boggess v. State, 655 P.2d 654 (Utah 1982), 169
- Boleyn, State v., 328 So.2d 95 (La.1976), 225
- Bonano, State v., 59 N.J. 515, 284 A.2d 345 (N.J.1971), 512
- Bonhart v. United States, 691 A.2d 160 (D.C. 1997), 361
- Booker, United States v. (CMA 1987), 622
- Booker, United States v., 543 U.S. 220, 125 S.Ct. 738, 160 L.Ed.2d 621 (2005), 29
- Boro v. Superior Court, 163 Cal.App.3d 1224, 210 Cal.Rptr. 122 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1985), 622
- Borthwick, State v., 255 Kan. 899, 880 P.2d 1261 (Kan.1994), 596
- Bousley v. United States, 523 U.S. 614, 118 S.Ct. 1604, 140 L.Ed.2d 828 (1998), 65
- Bowen, People v.**, 10 Mich.App. 1, 158 N.W.2d 794 (Mich.App.1968), **678**
- Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 U.S. 186, 106 S.Ct. 2841, 92 L.Ed.2d 140 (1986), 74
- Bowling, State v., 5 Ariz.App. 436, 427 P.2d 928 (Ariz.App.1967), 752
- Bowman v. State, 162 Wash.2d 325, 172 P.3d 681 (Wash.2007), 338
- Boyajian, State v., 344 A.2d 410 (Me.1975), 45
- Braverman v. United States**, 317 U.S. 49, 63 S.Ct. 99, 87 L.Ed. 23 (1942), **763**
- Brawner, United States v.**, 471 F.2d 969, 153 U.S.App.D.C. 1 (D.C.Cir.1972), **406**
- Breakiron, State v., 108 N.J. 591, 532 A.2d 199 (N.J.1987), 439
- Brewer, United States v., 363 F.Supp. 606 (M.D.Pa.1973), 110
- Brobeck, State v., 751 S.W.2d 828 (Tenn.1988), 596
- Brody, People v., 29 Cal.App.2d 6, 83 P.2d 952 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1938), 67
- Brogan v. United States, 522 U.S. 398, 118 S.Ct. 805, 139 L.Ed.2d 830 (1998), 916
- Brosnan, State v., 221 Conn. 788, 608 A.2d 49 (Conn.1992), 534
- Brown v. Ohio, 432 U.S. 161, 97 S.Ct. 2221, 53 L.Ed.2d 187 (1977), 339
- Brown, Commonwealth v., 413 Pa.Super. 421, 605 A.2d 429 (1992), 133
- Brown, People v., 120 Mich.App. 765, 328 N.W.2d 380 (Mich.App.1982), 862
- Brown, People v., 21 A.D.2d 738, 249 N.Y.S.2d 922 (N.Y.A.D. 4 Dept.1964), 667
- Brown, People v., 26 Ill.2d 308, 186 N.E.2d 321 (Ill.1962), 871
- Brown, State ex rel. v. Thompson, 149 W.Va. 649, 142 S.E.2d 711 (W.Va.1965), 916
- Brown, United States v., 776 F.2d 397 (2nd Cir.1985), 720
- Browning v. Michigan Department of Corrections, 385 Mich. 179, 188 N.W.2d 552 (1971), 553

- Brownsburg Community School Corp. v. Nattare Corp., 824 N.E.2d 336 (Ind.2005), 897
- Bruno, United States v.**, 105 F.2d 921 (2nd Cir.1939), **773**
- Bryan v. United States, 524 U.S. 184, 118 S.Ct. 1939, 141 L.Ed.2d 197 (1998), 211
- Bryan, United States v., 483 F.2d 88 (3rd Cir. 1973), 863
- Buchanan v. Angelone, 522 U.S. 269, 118 S.Ct. 757, 139 L.Ed.2d 702 (1998), 377
- Bull, State v., 61 Haw. 62, 597 P.2d 10 (Hawaii'i 1979), 120
- Burke, Commonwealth v., 105 Mass. 376 (Mass.1870), 645
- Burleson, People v., 50 Ill.App.3d 629, 8 Ill. Dec. 776, 365 N.E.2d 1162 (Ill.App. 4 Dist. 1977), 766
- Burroughs, People v., 201 Cal.Rptr. 319, 678 P.2d 894 (Cal.1984), 337
- Bush v. Commonwealth, 78 Ky. 268 (Ky.1880), 364
- Bush, State v., 195 Mont. 475, 636 P.2d 849 (Mont.1981), 811
- Butler, State v., 277 S.C. 452, 290 S.E.2d 1 (S.C.1982), 291
- Byers, United States v., 740 F.2d 1104, 239 U.S.App.D.C. 1 (D.C.Cir.1984), 425
- Bygrave, United States v., 1997 WL 530246 (U.S. Armed Forces 1997), 589
- Byrne, People v., 77 N.Y.2d 460, 568 N.Y.S.2d 717, 570 N.E.2d 1066 (N.Y.1991), 881
- Caddell, State v.**, 287 N.C. 266, 215 S.E.2d 348 (N.C.1975), **213**
- Caldwell, Regina v., 1 ALL E.R. 961, 170
- Calvaresi, People v., 188 Colo. 277, 534 P.2d 316 (Colo.1975), 164
- Cameron, State v., 104 N.J. 42, 514 A.2d 1302 (N.J.1986), 489
- Caminetti v. United States, 242 U.S. 470, 37 S.Ct. 192, 61 L.Ed. 442 (1917), 42
- Canipe v. Commonwealth, 25 Va.App. 629, 491 S.E.2d 747 (Va.App.1997), 291
- Caraballo-Rodríguez, United States v., 480 F.3d 62 (1st Cir.2007), 922
- Carbajal-Portillo v. United States, 396 F.2d 944 (9th Cir.1968), 864
- Carradine, People v., 52 Ill.2d 231, 287 N.E.2d 670 (Ill.1972), 576
- Carson, United States v., 319 A.2d 329 (D.C. 1974), 810
- Carter, People v., 415 Mich. 558, 330 N.W.2d 314 (Mich.1982), 795
- Carter v. State, 255 Ark. 225, 500 S.W.2d 368 (Ark.1973), 99
- Carter, United States v.**, 445 F.2d 669, 144 U.S.App.D.C. 193 (D.C.Cir.1971), **847**
- Casper, State v., 192 Neb. 120, 219 N.W.2d 226 (Neb.1974), 361
- Castro, People v., 657 P.2d 932 (Colo.1983), 666
- Cataldo, Commonwealth v., 423 Mass. 318, 668 N.E.2d 762 (Mass.1996), 516
- Cavness, State v., 911 P.2d 95 (Hawaii'i App. 1996), 190
- Ceasar, State v., 237 P.3d 792 (Okla.Crim.App. 2010), 344
- Chalmers, State v., 100 Ariz. 70, 411 P.2d 448 (Ariz.1966), 318
- Chambers, State v., 524 S.W.2d 826 (Mo.1975), 337
- Champagne, State v., 127 N.H. 266, 497 A.2d 1242 (N.H.1985), 389
- Chandler, State ex rel. v. Main, 16 Wis. 398 (Wis.1863), 107
- Chavers, State v., 294 So.2d 489 (La.1974), 359
- Chavez, People v., 77 Cal.App.2d 621, 176 P.2d 92 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1947), 262
- Cheek, United States v., 3 F.3d 1057 (7th Cir. 1993), 206
- Cheek v. United States, 498 U.S. 192, 111 S.Ct. 604, 112 L.Ed.2d 617 (1991), 206
- Cheff, People v., 37 Mich.App. 1, 194 N.W.2d 401 (Mich.App.1971), 908
- Chevron, United U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 467 U.S. 837, 104 S.Ct. 2778, 81 L.Ed.2d 694 (1984), 46
- Chicago, City of v. Morales, 527 U.S. 41, 119 S.Ct. 1849, 144 L.Ed.2d 67 (1999), 62
- Childers, State v., 358 S.C. 614, 595 S.E.2d 872 (S.C.App.2004), 293
- Chirchirillo, People v., 393 Ill.App.3d 916, 332 Ill.Dec. 703, 913 N.E.2d 635 (Ill.App. 2 Dist. 2009), 867
- Churchill (Victor George) (Senior) v. Walton, 1967 WL 23112 (HL 1967), 747
- Ciambrone, United States v., 750 F.2d 1416 (9th Cir.1984), 921
- City of (see name of city)**
- Clark v. Arizona**, 548 U.S. 735, 126 S.Ct. 2709, 165 L.Ed.2d 842 (2006), **440**
- Claudio, Commonwealth v., 418 Mass. 103, 634 N.E.2d 902 (Mass.1994), 338
- Clay v. Commonwealth, 30 Va.App. 254, 516 S.E.2d 684 (Va.App.1999), 224
- Cleveland Bd. of Educ. v. LaFleur, 414 U.S. 632, 94 S.Ct. 791, 39 L.Ed.2d 52, 67 O.O.2d 126 (1974), 641
- Cody, United States v., 722 F.2d 1052 (2nd Cir.1983), 757
- Coffin, State v., 128 N.M. 192, 991 P.2d 477 (N.M.1999), 516
- Coker v. Georgia, 433 U.S. 584, 97 S.Ct. 2861, 53 L.Ed.2d 982 (1977), 379
- Cole, United States v., 704 F.2d 554 (11th Cir.1983), 772
- Coleman v. State, 320 A.2d 740 (Del. Supr.1974), 510
- Colgan, People v., 50 A.D.2d 932, 377 N.Y.S.2d 602 (N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.1975), 576
- Collins, United States v., 690 F.2d 431 (5th Cir.1982), 291
- Collins v. Youngblood, 497 U.S. 37, 110 S.Ct. 2715, 111 L.Ed.2d 30 (1990), 67
- Colon, United States v., 549 F.3d 565 (7th Cir.2008), 726
- Columbus, City of v. Scott, 47 Ohio App.2d 287, 353 N.E.2d 858, 1 O.O.3d 352 (Ohio App. 10 Dist.1975), 809
- Com. v. — (see opposing party)**

Commonwealth v. — (see opposing party)**Commonwealth of (see name of Commonwealth)**

Conde, State v., 67 Conn.App. 474, 787 A.2d 571 (Conn.App.2001), 819

Connecticut Dept. of Public Safety v. Doe, 538 U.S. 1, 123 S.Ct. 1160, 155 L.Ed.2d 98 (2003), 101

Cook, Commonwealth v., 10 Mass.App.Ct. 668, 411 N.E.2d 1326 (Mass.App.Ct.1980), 720

Cook, State v., 204 W.Va. 591, 515 S.E.2d 127 (W.Va.1999), 528

Coombs, State v., 18 Ohio St.3d 123, 480 N.E.2d 414 (Ohio 1985), 404

Cooney, State v., 320 S.C. 107, 463 S.E.2d 597 (S.C.1995), 548

Cooper v. Oklahoma, 517 U.S. 348, 116 S.Ct. 1373, 134 L.Ed.2d 498 (1996), 391

Cooper, State v., 128 N.M. 428, 993 P.2d 745 (N.M.App.1999), 515

Cooper v. State, 253 Ga. 736, 325 S.E.2d 137 (Ga.1985), 431

Cores, United States v., 356 U.S. 405, 78 S.Ct. 875, 2 L.Ed.2d 873 (1958), 714

Cornman, State v., 695 S.W.2d 443 (Mo.1985), 797

Correra, State v., 430 A.2d 1251 (R.I.1981), 439

Cota, State v., 191 Ariz. 380, 956 P.2d 507 (Ariz.1998), **872**

Couch, People v., 436 Mich. 414, 461 N.W.2d 683 (Mich.1990), 546

Couch v. State, 253 Ga. 764, 325 S.E.2d 366 (Ga.1985), 500

County Court of Ulster County, N. Y. v. Allen, 442 U.S. 140, 99 S.Ct. 2213, 60 L.Ed.2d 777 (1979), **239**

County of (see name of county)

Courchesne, State v., 296 Conn. 622, 998 A.2d 1 (Conn.2010), 261

Court, People v., 52 A.D.2d 891, 383 N.Y.S.2d 66 (N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.1976), 575

Coyle, State v., 119 N.J. 194, 574 A.2d 951 (N.J.1990), 289

Craig, People v., 49 Cal.2d 313, 316 P.2d 947 (Cal.1957), 308

Crane, People v., 308 Ill.App.3d 675, 242 Ill. Dec. 378, 721 N.E.2d 657 (Ill.App. 2 Dist. 1999), 364

Crass, Commonwealth v., 180 Ky. 794, 203 S.W. 708 (Ky.1918), 107

Crawford, State v., 308 Md. 683, 521 A.2d 1193 (Md.1987), 566

Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S.Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed.2d 177 (2004), 714

Crocker, State v., 431 A.2d 1323 (Me.1981), 346

Cullen, Commonwealth v., 395 Mass. 225, 479 N.E.2d 179 (Mass.1985), 427

Cunningham, Regina v., 2 ALL E.R. 412 (1957), **113**

Curtis, State v., 544 S.W.2d 580 (Mo.1976), 423

Cushman, State v., 133 Vt. 121, 329 A.2d 648 (Vt.1974), **171**

Dabney v. State, 159 Md.App. 225, 858 A.2d 1084 (Md.App.2004), 688

Dalton, United States v., 960 F.2d 121 (10th Cir.1992), 252

Daoud, State v., 141 N.H. 142, 679 A.2d 577 (N.H.1996), 228

Davis v. City of Peachtree City, 251 Ga. 219, 304 S.E.2d 701 (Ga.1983), 883

Davis v. Commonwealth, 230 Va. 201, 335 S.E.2d 375 (Va.1985), 252

Davis, People v., 30 Cal.Rptr.2d 50, 872 P.2d 591 (Cal.1994), 263

Davis, People v., 72 N.Y.2d 32, 530 N.Y.S.2d 529, 526 N.E.2d 20 (N.Y.1988), 173

Davis, People v., 27 Ill.2d 57, 188 N.E.2d 225 (Ill.1963), 465

Davis v. State, 611 So.2d 906 (Miss.1992), 640

Davis, State v., 319 N.C. 620, 356 S.E.2d 340 (N.C.1987), 820

Davis, State v., 63 Wis.2d 75, 216 N.W.2d 31 (Wis.1974), 201

Day v. State, 168 Ind.App. 68, 341 N.E.2d 209 (Ind.App. 3 Dist.1976), 908

Day, State v., 925 A.2d 962 (R.I.2007), 765

DeBellis, United States v., 649 F.2d 1 (1st Cir.1981), 399

Deborah J.Z., State v., 228 Wis.2d 468, 596 N.W.2d 490 (Wis.App.1999), 264

Decina, People v., 2 N.Y.2d 133, 157 N.Y.S.2d 558, 138 N.E.2d 799 (N.Y.1956), 222

Dege, United States v., 364 U.S. 51, 80 S.Ct. 1589, 4 L.Ed.2d 1563 (1960), 802

Dekens, People v., 182 Ill.2d 247, 230 Ill.Dec. 984, 695 N.E.2d 474 (Ill.1998), 342

Delaney v. State, 190 So.2d 578 (Fla.1966), 44

Delo v. Lashley, 507 U.S. 272, 113 S.Ct. 1222, 122 L.Ed.2d 620 (1993), 377

De Meo, State v., 20 N.J. 1, 118 A.2d 1 (N.J. 1955), 201

Devlin, Commonwealth v., 366 Mass. 132, 314 N.E.2d 897 (Mass.1974), 912

Diaz, State v., 237 Conn. 518, 679 A.2d 902 (Conn.1996), **843**

Dietz, State v., 135 Mont. 496, 343 P.2d 539 (Mont.1959), 43

Dillon, People v., 194 Cal.Rptr. 390, 668 P.2d 697 (Cal.1983), 335

Director of Public Prosecutions, Holmes v., 2 ALL E.R. 124, 289

Dissicini, State v., 126 N.J.Super. 565, 316 A.2d 12 (N.J.Super.A.D.1974), 574

District Court of Thirteenth Judicial Dist. In and For Yellowstone and Stillwater Counties, State ex rel. Sikora v., 154 Mont. 241, 462 P.2d 897 (Mont.1969), 423

District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S.Ct. 2783, 171 L.Ed.2d 637 (2008), 518

Dlugash, People v., 41 N.Y.2d 725, 395 N.Y.S.2d 419, 363 N.E.2d 1155 (N.Y.1977), 348

Doane v. Commonwealth, 218 Va. 500, 237 S.E.2d 797 (Va.1977), 339

Doe v. Attorney General, 426 Mass. 136, 686 N.E.2d 1007 (Mass.1997), 101

Doe v. Poritz, 142 N.J. 1, 662 A.2d 367 (N.J. 1995), 101

- Dorsey v. Commonwealth, 17 S.W. 183 (Ky. 1891), 832
- Dowling v. United States, 473 U.S. 207, 213 (1985), 36
- DPP v. Morgan**, 1975 WL 44975 (HL 1975), 646
- Drew, Commonwealth v., 4 Mass.App.Ct. 30, 340 N.E.2d 524 (Mass.App.Ct.1976), 827
- Drope v. Missouri, 420 U.S. 162, 95 S.Ct. 896, 43 L.Ed.2d 103 (1975), 388
- Duckett v. State, 966 P.2d 941 (Wyo.1998), 529
- Duffy, State v., 8 S.W.3d 197 (Mo.App. W.D. 1999), 874
- Dunbar Contracting Co., People v., 165 A.D. 59, 151 N.Y.S. 164 (N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.1914), 800
- Dusenbery v. Commonwealth, 220 Va. 770, 263 S.E.2d 392 (Va.1980), 866
- Dusky v. United States, 362 U.S. 402, 80 S.Ct. 788, 4 L.Ed.2d 824 (1960), 389
- Easton, State v., 203 W.Va. 631, 510 S.E.2d 465 (W.Va.1998), 74
- Egan, State v., 287 So.2d 1 (Fla.1973), 35
- Ehrlichman, United States v., 546 F.2d 910, 178 U.S.App.D.C. 144 (D.C.Cir.1976), 202
- Elton, State v., 680 P.2d 727 (Utah 1984), 661
- Enmund v. Florida, 458 U.S. 782, 102 S.Ct. 3368, 73 L.Ed.2d 1140 (1982), 380
- Enriquez v. Procunier, 752 F.2d 111 (5th Cir. 1984), 389
- Ervasti, United States v., 201 F.3d 1029 (8th Cir.2000), 767
- Escamilla, United States v.**, 467 F.2d 341 (4th Cir.1972), 321
- Essex v. Commonwealth, 228 Va. 273, 322 S.E.2d 216 (Va.1984), 319
- Estes v. Carter, 10 Iowa 400 (Iowa 1860), 34
- Etzweiler, State v., 125 N.H. 57, 480 A.2d 870 (N.H.1984), 841
- Eulo, People v., 63 N.Y.2d 341, 482 N.Y.S.2d 436, 472 N.E.2d 286 (N.Y.1984), 266
- Evans, People v.**, 85 Misc.2d 1088, 379 N.Y.S.2d 912 (N.Y.Sup.1975), 614
- Ewing v. California, 538 U.S. 11, 123 S.Ct. 1179, 155 L.Ed.2d 108 (2003), 99
- Ex parte (see name of party)**
- Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 45 L.Ed.2d 562 (1975), 420
- Faulkner, State v., 301 Md. 482, 483 A.2d 759 (Md.1984), 511
- Fearon, United States v., 283 Minn. 90, 166 N.W.2d 720 (1969), 466
- Feinberg, Commonwealth v., 433 Pa. 558, 253 A.2d 636 (Pa.1969), 362
- Felix, United States v., 503 U.S. 378, 112 S.Ct. 1377, 118 L.Ed.2d 25 (1992), 767
- Feola, United States v.**, 420 U.S. 671, 95 S.Ct. 1255, 43 L.Ed.2d 541 (1975), 739
- Figueroa-Cartagena, United States v., 612 F.3d 69 (1st Cir.2010), 827
- Fine v. State, 193 Tenn. 422, 246 S.W.2d 70 (Tenn.1952), 349
- Finger v. State, 117 Nev. 548, 27 P.3d 66 (Nev.2001), 427
- Fitzpatrick v. State, 93 Nev. 21, 558 P.2d 630 (Nev.1977), 606
- Flaherty, State v., 400 A.2d 363 (Me.1979), 233
- Fletcher v. State, 698 So.2d 579 (Fla.App. 3 Dist.1997), 629
- Ford v. State**, 330 Md. 682, 625 A.2d 984 (Md.1993), 124
- Formella, State v., 158 N.H. 114, 960 A.2d 722 (N.H.2008), 871
- Foster, State v., 202 Conn. 520, 522 A.2d 277 (Conn.1987), 840
- Fowlin, Commonwealth v., 551 Pa. 414, 710 A.2d 1130 (Pa.1998), 515
- Fraley, Ex parte, 3 Okla.Crim. 719, 109 P. 295 (Okla.Crim.App.1910), 292
- Francabandera, People v., 33 N.Y.2d 429, 354 N.Y.S.2d 609, 310 N.E.2d 292 (N.Y.1974), 389
- Francis v. Franklin, 471 U.S. 307, 105 S.Ct. 1965, 85 L.Ed.2d 344 (1985), 139
- Frazier, State v., 339 Mo. 966, 98 S.W.2d 707 (Mo.1936), 344
- Fulcher v. State, 633 P.2d 142 (Wyo.1981), 222
- Furman v. Georgia, 408 U.S. 238, 92 S.Ct. 2726, 33 L.Ed.2d 346 (1972), 366
- Gallimore v. Commonwealth, 246 Va. 441, 436 S.E.2d 421 (Va.1993), 364
- Gallishaw, United States v., 428 F.2d 760 (2nd Cir.1970), 738
- Gambrell, State v., 318 N.C. 249, 347 S.E.2d 390 (N.C.1986), 426
- Gardner, State v., 230 Wis.2d 32, 601 N.W.2d 670 (Wis.App.1999), 496
- Gardner, State v., 57 Del. 588, 203 A.2d 77 (Del.Super.1964), 128
- Gardner v. Akeroyd, 1952 WL 12118 (DC 1952), 669
- Garnica, State v., 209 Ariz. 96, 98 P.3d 207 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 2004), 843
- Garoutte, State v., 95 Ariz. 234, 388 P.2d 809 (Ariz.1964), 591
- Garza, State v., 259 Kan. 826, 916 P.2d 9 (Kan.1996), 840
- Gebardi v. United States, 287 U.S. 112, 53 S.Ct. 35, 77 L.Ed. 206 (1932), 797
- Gelb, State v., 212 N.J.Super. 582, 515 A.2d 1246 (N.J.Super.A.D.1986), 821
- George v. State, 681 S.W.2d 43 (Tex.Crim.App. 1984), 223
- Gerak, State v., 169 Conn. 309, 363 A.2d 114 (Conn.1975), 344
- Getzinger, State v., 189 Or.App. 431, 76 P.3d 148 (Or.App.2003), 226
- Gibson, People v., 23 Cal.App.3d 917, 101 Cal. Rptr. 620 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1972), 304
- Gilday v. Commonwealth, 360 Mass. 170, 274 N.E.2d 589 (Mass.1971), 423
- Giovannetti, United States v., 919 F.2d 1223 (7th Cir.1990), 150
- Girard, United States v., 744 F.2d 1170 (5th Cir.1984), 784
- Gladman, People v., 41 N.Y.2d 123, 390 N.Y.S.2d 912, 359 N.E.2d 420 (N.Y.1976), 339

- Gladstone, State v.**, 78 Wash.2d 306, 474 P.2d 274 (Wash.1970), **832**
- Glisson v. State, 188 Ga.App. 152, 372 S.E.2d 462 (Ga.App.1988), 45
- Godinez v. Moran, 509 U.S. 389, 113 S.Ct. 2680, 125 L.Ed.2d 321 (1993), 389, 422
- Goetz, People v., 68 N.Y.2d 96, 506 N.Y.S.2d 18, 497 N.E.2d 41 (N.Y.1986), 527
- Goldberg v. State, 41 Md.App. 58, 395 A.2d 1213 (Md.App.1979), 622
- Goldenberg, Commonwealth v., 338 Mass. 377, 155 N.E.2d 187 (Mass.1959), 622
- Goldstein v. California, 412 U.S. 546, 93 S.Ct. 2303, 37 L.Ed.2d 163 (1973), 106
- Gonzales v. Oregon, 546 U.S. 243, 126 S.Ct. 904, 163 L.Ed.2d 748 (2006), 46
- Gonzales, People v., 148 Misc.2d 973, 561 N.Y.S.2d 358 (Co.Ct.1990), 642
- Gonzales v. Raich, 545 U.S. 1, 125 S.Ct. 2195, 162 L.Ed.2d 1 (2005), 109, 567
- Goodenow, State v., 65 Me. 30 (Me.1876), 201
- Goodman, People v., 182 Misc. 585, 44 N.Y.S.2d 715 (N.Y.Sup.1943), 361
- Goodseal, State v.**, 220 Kan. 487, 553 P.2d 279 (Kan.1976), **326**
- Goodwin v. State, 506 P.2d 571 (Okla.Crim. App.1973), 576
- Gooze, State v., 14 N.J.Super. 277, 81 A.2d 811 (N.J.Super.A.D.1951), 325
- Gordon v. United States, 203 F.2d 248 (10th Cir.1953), 898
- Gorham v. United States, 339 A.2d 401 (D.C. 1975), 477
- Gory, People v.**, 28 Cal.2d 450, 170 P.2d 433 (Cal.1946), **230**
- Gould, United States v., 741 F.2d 45 (4th Cir. 1984), 449
- Government of Virgin Islands v. Knight, 989 F.2d 619 (3rd Cir.1993), 424
- Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386, 109 S.Ct. 1865, 104 L.Ed.2d 443 (1989), 547
- Grandison v. State, 341 Md. 175, 670 A.2d 398 (Md.1995), 796
- Granite Construction Co. v. Superior Court, 149 Cal.App.3d 465, 197 Cal.Rptr. 3 (Cal. App. 5 Dist.1983), 897
- Grant, People v., 46 Ill.App.3d 125, 4 Ill.Dec. 696, 360 N.E.2d 809 (Ill.App. 4 Dist.1977), 221
- Grant, State v., 418 A.2d 154 (Me.1980), 667
- Gregg v. Georgia**, 428 U.S. 153, 96 S.Ct. 2909, 49 L.Ed.2d 859 (1976), **366**
- Gregg, United States v., 612 F.2d 43 (2nd Cir.1979), 830
- Gregory, Commonwealth v., 17 Mass.App.Ct. 651, 461 N.E.2d 831 (Mass.App.Ct.1984), 512
- Grimmett, United States v., 236 F.3d 452 (8th Cir.2001), 790
- Grimsley, State v., 3 Ohio App.3d 265, 444 N.E.2d 1071 (Ohio App. 1 Dist.1982), 230
- Grunewald v. United States**, 353 U.S. 391, 77 S.Ct. 963, 1 L.Ed.2d 931 (1957), **780**
- Guillette, United States v., 547 F.2d 743 (2nd Cir.1976), 362
- Guminga, State v., 395 N.W.2d 344 (Minn. 1986), 883
- Guthrie, State v.**, 194 W.Va. 657, 461 S.E.2d 163 (W.Va.1995), **295**
- Guzman, Commonwealth v., 446 Mass. 344, 845 N.E.2d 270 (Mass.2006), 593
- Haas, State v., 134 N.H. 480, 596 A.2d 127 (N.H.1991), 535
- Hairston v. State, 54 Miss. 689 (Miss.1877), 123
- Hall, State v., 214 N.W.2d 205 (Iowa 1974), 490, 496
- Hallett, State v., 619 P.2d 335 (Utah 1980), 361
- Halushka v. University of Saskatchewan, 1965 WL 78539 (Sask. C.A.1965), 588
- Hamil, People v., 20 Ill.App.3d 901, 314 N.E.2d 251 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1974), 123
- Harper, State ex rel. v. Zegeer, 170 W.Va. 743, 296 S.E.2d 873 (W.Va.1982), 466
- Harris v. Alabama, 513 U.S. 504, 115 S.Ct. 1031, 130 L.Ed.2d 1004 (1995), 377
- Harris v. Oklahoma, 433 U.S. 682, 97 S.Ct. 2912, 53 L.Ed.2d 1054 (1977), 339
- Harris, People v.**, 72 Ill.2d 16, 17 Ill.Dec. 838, 377 N.E.2d 28 (Ill.1978), **662**
- Harris, State v., 222 N.W.2d 462 (Iowa 1974), 514
- Harrison v. State, 382 Md. 477, 855 A.2d 1220 (Md.2004), 128
- Harrison v. United States, 7 F.2d 259 (2nd Cir.1925), 713
- Hartley, United States v., 678 F.2d 961 (11th Cir.1982), 801
- Hartwell, United States v., 73 U.S. 385, 18 L.Ed. 830 (1867), 41
- Harwood, State v., 110 Ariz. 375, 519 P.2d 177 (Ariz.1974), 290
- Hatley, State v., 72 N.M. 377, 384 P.2d 252 (N.M.1963), 117
- Havican, State v., 213 Conn. 593, 569 A.2d 1089 (Conn.1990), 514
- Hayes, State v., 105 Mo. 76, 16 S.W. 514 (Mo. 1891), 864
- Haywood, State v., 295 N.C. 709, 249 S.E.2d 429 (1978), 819
- Hazelwood, State v.**, 946 P.2d 875 (Alaska 1997), **156**
- Heath v. Alabama, 474 U.S. 82, 106 S.Ct. 433, 88 L.Ed.2d 387 (1985), 107
- Heather B., In re, 369 Md. 257, 799 A.2d 397 (Md.2002), 732
- Heitzman, People v., 37 Cal.Rptr.2d 236, 886 P.2d 1229 (Cal.1994), 254
- Helmich, United States v., 704 F.2d 547 (11th Cir.1983), 795
- Helms, State v., 284 N.C. 508, 201 S.E.2d 850 (N.C.1974), 429
- Hemphill v. United States, 402 F.2d 187, 131 U.S.App.D.C. 46 (D.C.Cir.1968), 309
- Henderson v. Kibbe, 431 U.S. 145, 97 S.Ct. 1730, 52 L.Ed.2d 203 (1977), 353
- Henderson, United States v., 1992 WL 54379 (CMA 1992), 109

- Herd, *Commonwealth v.*, 413 Mass. 834, 604 N.E.2d 1294 (Mass.1992), 490
- Heredia, *United States v.*, 483 F.3d 913 (9th Cir.2007), 149
- Hickman, *People v.*, 59 Ill.2d 89, 319 N.E.2d 511 (Ill.1974), 342
- Hicks v. United States**, 150 U.S. 442, 14 S.Ct. 144, 37 L.Ed. 1137 (1893), **816**
- Hill v. Baxter, 1957 WL 18374 (QBD 1957), 215
- Hiott, State v.**, 97 Wash.App. 825, 987 P.2d 135 (Wash.App. Div. 2 1999), **585**
- Hoang, *State v.*, 243 Kan. 40, 755 P.2d 7 (Kan.1988), 343
- Hobson, State v.**, 218 Wis.2d 350, 577 N.W.2d 825 (Wis.1998), **548**
- Hobson, *United States v.*, 519 F.2d 765 (9th Cir.1975), 914
- Hodgdon, *State v.*, 244 Or. 219, 416 P.2d 647 (Or.1966), 164
- Hodogbey, *People v.*, 306 Ill.App.3d 555, 239 Ill.Dec. 775, 714 N.E.2d 1072 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1999), 154
- Hofsheier, *People v.*, 39 Cal.Rptr.3d 821, 129 P.3d 29 (Cal.2006), 101
- Holland v. State**, 302 So.2d 806 (Fla.App. 2 Dist.1974), **917**
- Holliday v. State, 601 N.E.2d 385 (Ind.App. 3 Dist.1992), 140
- Holloway v. *United States*, 526 U.S. 1, 119 S.Ct. 966, 143 L.Ed.2d 1 (1999), 122
- Holmes, *United States v.*, 26 F.Cas. 360 (C.C.E.D.Pa.1842), 566
- Holseback v. *State*, 443 So.2d 1371 (Ala. Crim.App.1983), 346
- Hooper, *State v.*, 57 Ohio St.2d 87, 386 N.E.2d 1348, 11 O.O.3d 250 (Ohio 1979), 44
- Hopkins v. *State*, 193 Md. 489, 69 A.2d 456 (Md.1949), 201
- Horton, *State v.*, 139 N.C. 588, 51 S.E. 945 (N.C.1905), 345
- Hough, *People v.*, 159 Misc.2d 997, 607 N.Y.S.2d 884 (N.Y.Dist.Ct.1994), 622
- Hoyt, *State v.*, 21 Wis.2d 284, 128 N.W.2d 645 (Wis.1964), 283
- Hsu, *United States v.*, 155 F.3d 189 (3rd Cir. 1998), 699
- Hughes v. State**, 868 P.2d 730 (Okla.Crim. App.1994), **257**
- Hutchins, *Commonwealth v.*, 410 Mass. 726, 575 N.E.2d 741 (Mass.1991), 567
- Hutchinson v. *State*, 315 So.2d 546 (Fla.App. 2 Dist.1975), 726
- Hyam v. DPP**, 1974 WL 41913 (HL 1974), **309**
- Hy Vee Food Stores, Inc., *State v.*, 533 N.W.2d 147 (S.D.1995), 895
- Iannelli v. United States**, 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d 616 (1975), **790**
- Ibn Omar-Muhammad, *State v.*, 102 N.M. 274, 694 P.2d 922 (N.M.1985), 319
- Iennaco, *United States v.*, 893 F.2d 394, 282 U.S.App.D.C. 187 (D.C.Cir.1990), 720
- Ingraham v. Wright, 430 U.S. 651, 97 S.Ct. 1401, 51 L.Ed.2d 711 (1977), 559
- In Interest of B.L.S., 264 Ga. 643, 449 S.E.2d 823 (Ga.1994), 642
- In Interest of K.S., 500 N.W.2d 603 (N.D. 1993), 236
- In re (see name of party)**
- Insko, *United States v.*, 496 F.2d 204 (5th Cir.1974), 201
- Ireland, *People v.*, 38 Ill.App.3d 616, 348 N.E.2d 277 (Ill.App. 3 Dist.1976), 238
- Irwin, *United States v.*, 546 F.2d 1048 (3rd Cir.1976), 201
- Jackson v. *Commonwealth*, 100 Ky. 239, 38 S.W. 422 and 1091 (1896), 365
- Jackson v. Indiana**, 406 U.S. 715, 92 S.Ct. 1845, 32 L.Ed.2d 435 (1972), **392**
- Jackson, *United States v.*, 528 A.2d 1211 (D.C. 1987), 349
- Jacobs v. *State*, 184 So.2d 711 (Fla.App. 1 Dist.1966), 361
- Jacobson, *State v.*, 74 Wash.App. 715, 876 P.2d 916 (Wash.App. Div. 1 1994), 795
- James, United States v.**, 528 F.2d 999 (5th Cir.1976), **715**
- James M., In re, 108 Cal.Rptr. 89, 510 P.2d 33 (Cal.1973), 686
- Jantzi, *State v.*, 56 Or.App. 57, 641 P.2d 62 (Or.App.1982), 143
- Jeffers v. *United States*, 432 U.S. 137, 97 S.Ct. 2207, 53 L.Ed.2d 168 (1977), 794
- Jeffries v. *State*, 90 P.3d 185 (Alaska App. 2004), 319
- Jenkins, *State v.*, 48 Ohio App.2d 99, 355 N.E.2d 825, 2 O.O.3d 73 (Ohio App. 8 Dist. 1976), 305
- Jensen, *State v.*, 164 Wash.2d 943, 195 P.3d 512 (Wash.2008), 812
- Jerrett, *State v.*, 309 N.C. 239, 307 S.E.2d 339 (N.C.1983), 230
- Jewell, United States v.**, 532 F.2d 697 (9th Cir.1976), **145**
- Jimenez Recio, *United States v.*, 537 U.S. 270, 123 S.Ct. 819, 154 L.Ed.2d 744 (2003), 787
- J. N., Matter of, 406 A.2d 1275 (D.C.1979), 363
- Johnson, *Commonwealth v.*, 312 Pa. 140, 167 A. 344 (Pa.1933), 702
- Johnson, *State v.*, 124 N.M. 647, 954 P.2d 79 (N.M.App.1997), 548
- Johnson, *State v.*, 133 Wis.2d 207, 395 N.W.2d 176 (Wis.1986), 389
- Johnson, *State v.*, 102 Ind. 247, 1 N.E. 377 (Ind.1885), 344
- Johnson v. *State*, 258 Ind. 515, 282 N.E.2d 802 (Ind.1972), 554
- Johnson, *State v.*, 77 Idaho 1, 287 P.2d 425 (Idaho 1955), 590
- Johnson v. *State*, 224 P.3d 105 (Alaska 2010), 355
- Johnson v. *State*, 117 Nev. 153, 17 P.3d 1008 (Nev.2001), 421
- Johnson, *State ex rel. v. Richardson*, 276 Or. 325, 555 P.2d 202 (Or.1976), 425
- Johnson, *United States v.*, 956 F.2d 894 (9th Cir.1992), 577
- Johnson, *United States ex rel. Matthews v.*, 503 F.2d 339 (3rd Cir.1974), 290

- Johnson v. Youden, 1950 WL 10253 (DC 1950), 841
- John Z., In re, 128 Cal.Rptr.2d 783, 60 P.3d 183 (Cal.2003), 633
- Jones, People v., 184 Colo. 96, 518 P.2d 819 (Colo.1974), 864
- Jones v. State**, 589 N.E.2d 241 (Ind.1992), 626
- Jones, State v., 99 Wash.2d 735, 664 P.2d 1216 (Wash.1983), 420
- Jones v. State, 289 So.2d 725 (Fla.1974), 427
- Jones v. United States, 463 U.S. 354, 103 S.Ct. 3043, 77 L.Ed.2d 694 (1983), 432
- Jones v. United States, 529 U.S. 848, 120 S.Ct. 1904, 146 L.Ed.2d 902 (2000), 109
- Jordan v. State, 272 Ga. 395, 530 S.E.2d 192 (Ga.2000), 832
- Joseph G., In re, 194 Cal.Rptr. 163, 667 P.2d 1176 (Cal.1983), 295
- Jurek v. Texas, 428 U.S. 262, 96 S.Ct. 2950, 49 L.Ed.2d 929 (1976), 375
- Justice v. State, 530 N.E.2d 295 (Ind.1988), 134
- Kalama, State v., 8 P.3d 1224 (Hawai'i 2000), 120
- Kansas v. Marsh, 548 U.S. 163, 126 S.Ct. 2516, 165 L.Ed.2d 429 (2006), 377
- Kantor, United States v., 858 F.2d 534 (9th Cir.1988), 185
- Kaplan, State v., 128 N.H. 562, 517 A.2d 1162 (N.H.1986), 862
- Kargar, State v., 679 A.2d 81 (Me.1996), 452
- Karstetter, State v., 110 Ariz. 539, 521 P.2d 626 (Ariz.1974), 425
- Kasvin, United States v., 757 F.2d 887 (7th Cir.1985), 726
- Keeler v. Superior Court, 87 Cal.Rptr. 481, 470 P.2d 617 (Cal.1970), 262
- Keener v. State, 254 Ga. 699, 334 S.E.2d 175 (Ga.1985), 431
- Keita, Commonwealth v., 429 Mass. 843, 712 N.E.2d 65 (Mass.1999), 427
- Kelly v. United States, 194 F.2d 150, 90 U.S.App.D.C. 125 (D.C.Cir.1952), 807
- Kessler, People v.**, 57 Ill.2d 493, 315 N.E.2d 29 (Ill.1974), 852
- Kibbe v. Henderson**, 534 F.2d 493 (2nd Cir. 1976), 350
- Kinnemore, State v., 34 Ohio App.2d 39, 295 N.E.2d 680, 63 O.O.2d 62 (Ohio App. 2 Dist.1972), 123
- Klein, Commonwealth v., 372 Mass. 823, 363 N.E.2d 1313 (Mass.1977), 547
- Knapp, State v., 147 Vt. 56, 509 A.2d 1010 (Vt.1986), 574
- Konrad, People v., 449 Mich. 263, 536 N.W.2d 517 (Mich.1995), 238
- Konz, Commonwealth v., 498 Pa. 639, 450 A.2d 638 (Pa.1982), 250
- Korell, State v., 213 Mont. 316, 690 P.2d 992 (Mont.1984), 418
- Kotteakos v. United States**, 328 U.S. 750, 66 S.Ct. 1239, 90 L.Ed. 1557 (1946), 768
- Krulewitch v. United States, 336 U.S. 440, 69 S.Ct. 716, 93 L.Ed. 790 (1949), 713, 715
- Kuh, United States v., 541 F.2d 672 (7th Cir. 1976), 921
- Kuntz, State ex rel. v. Montana Thirteenth Judicial Dist. Court, 298 Mont. 146, 995 P.2d 951 (Mont.2000), 253
- Ladish Malting Co., United States v., 135 F.3d 484 (7th Cir.1998), 897
- Lady J. Lingerie, Inc. v. City of Jacksonville, 176 F.3d 1358 (11th Cir.1999), 882, 905
- Lafferty, State v., 309 A.2d 647 (1973), 269
- LaFleur, United States v.**, 971 F.2d 200 (9th Cir.1991), 568
- Lambert v. People of the State of California, 355 U.S. 225, 78 S.Ct. 240, 2 L.Ed.2d 228 (1957), 203
- Langworthy, People v., 416 Mich. 630, 331 N.W.2d 171 (Mich.1982), 487
- Lanzetta v. New Jersey, 306 U.S. 451, 453 (1939), 62
- Larson, State v.**, 582 N.W.2d 15 (S.D.1998), 161
- Larson, State v., 159 Ariz. 14, 764 P.2d 749 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 1988), 593
- Lashley, State v., 233 Kan. 620, 664 P.2d 1358 (Kan.1983), 331
- Laskey v. United Kingdom, 24 Eur. H.R. Rep. 39 (1997), 93
- Laura, United States v., 607 F.2d 52 (3rd Cir. 1979), 420
- Lauria, People v.**, 251 Cal.App.2d 471, 59 Cal.Rptr. 628 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1967), 732
- Law, State v., 270 S.C. 664, 244 S.E.2d 302 (S.C.1978), 428
- Law v. State**, 21 Md.App. 13, 318 A.2d 859 (Md.App.1974), 530
- Lawrance, United States v., 480 F.2d 688 (5th Cir.1973), 429
- Lawrence v. Texas**, 539 U.S. 558, 123 S.Ct. 2472, 156 L.Ed.2d 508 (2003), 74
- Le Barron v. State, 32 Wis.2d 294, 145 N.W.2d 79 (Wis.1966), 709
- Lee, Commonwealth v., 331 Mass. 166, 117 N.E.2d 830 (Mass.1954), 233
- Lee v. State, 489 S.W.2d 61 (Tenn.Crim.App. 1972), 135
- Lefevre, State v., 138 N.M. 174, 117 P.3d 980 (N.M.App.2005), 559
- Leffel v. Municipal Court, 54 Cal.App.3d 569, 126 Cal.Rptr. 773 (Cal.App. 5 Dist.1976), 810
- Leland v. State of Or., 343 U.S. 790, 72 S.Ct. 1002, 96 L.Ed. 1302 (1952), 427
- Lemons, United States v., 697 F.2d 832 (8th Cir.1983), 87, 110
- Lewis, People v., 124 Cal. 551, 57 P. 470 (Cal. 1899), 362
- Liberta, People v.**, 64 N.Y.2d 152, 485 N.Y.S.2d 207, 474 N.E.2d 567 (N.Y.1984), 635
- Lievers v. State, 3 Md.App. 597, 241 A.2d 147 (Md.App.1968), 765
- Light, State v., 577 S.W.2d 134 (Mo.App. S.D. 1979), 344
- Lindsey, Commonwealth v., 396 Mass. 840, 489 N.E.2d 666 (Mass.1986), 566

- Linscott, *State v.*, 520 A.2d 1067 (Me.1987), 859
- Liparota v. *United States*, 471 U.S. 419, 105 S.Ct. 2084, 85 L.Ed.2d 434 (1985), 204
- Lisa, *State v.*, 194 N.J. 409, 945 A.2d 690 (N.J.2008), 254
- Little, *State v.*, 123 N.H. 433, 462 A.2d 117 (N.H.1983), 285
- Locke v. Rose**, 514 F.2d 570 (6th Cir.1975), 55
- Locke v. State**, 501 S.W.2d 826 (Tenn.Crim. App.1973), 51
- Lockett v. *Ohio*, 438 U.S. 586, 98 S.Ct. 2954, 57 L.Ed.2d 973, 9 O.O.3d 26 (1978), 376
- Logan v. *State*, 42 Okla.Crim. 294, 275 P. 657 (Okla.Crim.App.1929), 345
- Long v. *State*, 44 Del. 262, 65 A.2d 489 (Del. Supr.1949), 202
- Longley, *State v.*, 182 Vt. 452, 939 A.2d 1028 (Vt.2007), 173
- Lopez, *United States v.*, 514 U.S. 549, 115 S.Ct. 1624, 131 L.Ed.2d 626 (1995), 108
- Louraine, *Commonwealth v.*, 390 Mass. 28, 453 N.E.2d 437 (Mass.1983), 428
- Loving v. *United States*, 517 U.S. 748, 116 S.Ct. 1737, 135 L.Ed.2d 36 (1996), 381
- Lovisi v. *Slayton*, 539 F.2d 349 (4th Cir.1976), 87
- Lowery, *People v.*, 178 Ill.2d 462, 227 Ill.Dec. 491, 687 N.E.2d 973 (Ill.1997), 342
- Lubow, People v.**, 29 N.Y.2d 58, 323 N.Y.S.2d 829, 272 N.E.2d 331 (N.Y.1971), 804
- Lupino, *United States v.*, 480 F.2d 720 (8th Cir.1973), 795
- Maas, *State v.*, 242 Kan. 44, 744 P.2d 1222 (Kan.1987), 439
- MacAndrews & Forbes Co., *United States v.*, 149 F. 823 (C.C.S.D.N.Y.1906), 800
- Madden, *State v.*, 61 N.J. 377, 294 A.2d 609 (N.J.1972), 290
- Mahoney v. *Byers*, 187 Md. 81, 48 A.2d 600 (Md.1946), 641
- Main, *State ex rel. Chandler v.*, 16 Wis. 398 (Wis.1863), 107
- Malone, *Commonwealth v.*, 354 Pa. 180, 47 A.2d 445 (Pa.1946), 317
- Manbeck, *United States v.*, 744 F.2d 360 (4th Cir.1984), 779
- Mandujano, United States v.**, 499 F.2d 370 (5th Cir.1974), 681
- Marble, *United States v.*, 940 F.2d 1543, 291 U.S.App.D.C. 279 (D.C.Cir.1991), 420
- Marcum, *United States v.*, 2004 WL 1885349 (U.S. Armed Forces 2004), 110
- Marrero, People v.**, 69 N.Y.2d 382, 515 N.Y.S.2d 212, 507 N.E.2d 1068 (N.Y.1987), 194
- Marshall, People v.**, 362 Mich. 170, 106 N.W.2d 842 (Mich.1961), 839
- Martin, *Commonwealth v.*, 369 Mass. 640, 341 N.E.2d 885 (Mass.1976), 529
- Martin v. *Ohio*, 480 U.S. 228, 107 S.Ct. 1098, 94 L.Ed.2d 267 (1987), 516
- Martinez, *United States v.*, 588 F.2d 495 (5th Cir.1979), 238
- Martorano, *United States v.*, 709 F.2d 863 (3rd Cir.1983), 238
- Mash, *State v.*, 323 N.C. 339, 372 S.E.2d 532 (N.C.1988), 489
- Mason v. *State*, 302 Md. 434, 488 A.2d 955 (Md.1985), 767
- Massey, *State v.*, 267 S.C. 432, 229 S.E.2d 332 (S.C.1976), 916
- Matchett, *Commonwealth v.*, 386 Mass. 492, 436 N.E.2d 400 (Mass.1982), 337
- Matter of (see name of party)**
- Matthews, *United States ex rel. v. Johnson*, 503 F.2d 339 (3rd Cir.1974), 290
- Mauricio, *State v.*, 117 N.J. 402, 568 A.2d 879 (N.J.1990), 293
- Mayberry, *People v.*, 125 Cal.Rptr. 745, 542 P.2d 1337 (Cal.1975), 655
- Mayes v. *People*, 106 Ill. 306 (Ill.1883), 317
- Maynard v. *Boone*, 468 F.3d 665 (10th Cir. 2006), 388
- Mays, *State v.*, 172 W.Va. 486, 307 S.E.2d 655 (W.Va.1983), 294
- McCall, *United States v.*, 460 F.2d 952, 148 U.S.App.D.C. 444 (D.C.Cir.1972), 827
- McClain v. *State*, 678 N.E.2d 104 (Ind.1997), 227
- McCloskey, *Commonwealth v.*, 234 Pa.Super. 577, 341 A.2d 500 (Pa.Super.1975), 708
- McDonald v. *City of Chicago, Ill.*, — U.S. —, 130 S.Ct. 3020, 177 L.Ed.2d 894 (2010), 518
- McDowell, *United States v.*, 705 F.2d 426 (11th Cir.1983), 708
- McKinnon v. *United States*, 550 A.2d 915 (D.C. 1988), 364
- McKoy v. *North Carolina*, 494 U.S. 433, 110 S.Ct. 1227, 108 L.Ed.2d 369 (1990), 377
- McLaren, *State v.*, 135 Vt. 291, 376 A.2d 34 (Vt.1977), 173
- McLaughlin, *State v.*, 286 N.C. 597, 213 S.E.2d 238 (N.C.1975), 489
- McLeod, *Commonwealth v.*, 394 Mass. 727, 477 N.E.2d 972 (Mass.1985), 346
- McNeill, *State v.*, 83 Ohio St.3d 438, 700 N.E.2d 596 (Ohio 1998), 341
- McVey, State v.**, 376 N.W.2d 585 (Iowa 1985), 435
- Medina v. *California*, 505 U.S. 437, 112 S.Ct. 2572, 120 L.Ed.2d 353 (1992), 390
- Melchor-Lopez, *United States v.*, 627 F.2d 886 (9th Cir.1980), 720
- Mercer, *State v.*, 324 Wis.2d 506, 782 N.W.2d 125 (Wis.App.2010), 237
- Meyer v. *State*, 47 Md.App. 679, 425 A.2d 664 (Md.App.1981), 813
- Meyers, *State v.*, 683 So.2d 1378 (La.App. 5 Cir.1996), 831
- Michael, *In re*, 423 A.2d 1180 (R.I.1981), 500
- Michael M. v. *Superior Court of Sonoma County*, 450 U.S. 464, 101 S.Ct. 1200, 67 L.Ed.2d 437 (1981), 644
- Michael, *Regina v.*, 169 Eng.REp. 48 (1840), 364
- Michelena-Orovio, United States v.**, 719 F.2d 738 (5th Cir.1983), 774

- Miller v. French, 530 U.S. 327, 120 S.Ct. 2246, 147 L.Ed.2d 326 (2000), 40
- Minifie, People v., 56 Cal.Rptr.2d 133, 920 P.2d 1337 (Cal.1996), 516
- Minneapolis, City of v. Altimus**, 306 Minn. 462, 238 N.W.2d 851 (Minn.1976), **490**
- Miranda, State v., 274 Conn. 727, 878 A.2d 1118 (Conn.2005), 251
- Missoula, City of v. Shea, 202 Mont. 286, 661 P.2d 410 (Mont.1983), 888
- Mitchell, People v., 189 Ill.2d 312, 245 Ill.Dec. 1, 727 N.E.2d 254 (Ill.2000), 44
- Mitchell v. State**, 363 Md. 130, 767 A.2d 844 (Md.2001), **727**
- Mlinarich, Commonwealth v., 518 Pa. 247, 542 A.2d 1335 (Pa.1988), 610
- Mobbley, State v., 98 N.M. 557, 650 P.2d 841 (N.M.App.1982), 915
- Mochan, Commonwealth v., 177 Pa.Super. 454, 110 A.2d 788 (Pa.Super.1955), 35
- Moe, State v., 174 Wash. 303, 24 P.2d 638 (Wash.1933), 573
- Montana v. Egelhoff**, 518 U.S. 37, 116 S.Ct. 2013, 135 L.Ed.2d 361 (1996), **478**
- Montana Thirteenth Judicial Dist. Court, State ex rel. Kuntz v., 298 Mont. 146, 995 P.2d 951 (Mont.2000), 253
- Montgomery, United States v., 772 F.2d 733 (11th Cir.1985), 565
- Mooney v. State, 105 P.3d 149 (Alaska App. 2005), 227
- Moore v. Lowe, 116 W.Va. 165, 180 S.E. 1 (W.Va.1935), 832
- Moore, United States v.**, 486 F.2d 1139, 158 U.S.App.D.C. 375 (D.C.Cir.1973), **469**
- Moran v. Schwarz, 108 Nev. 200, 826 P.2d 952 (Nev.1992), 810
- Morante, People v., 84 Cal.Rptr.2d 665, 975 P.2d 1071 (Cal.1999), 44
- Moretti, State v., 52 N.J. 182, 244 A.2d 499 (N.J.1968), 760
- Morrison, State v., 25 N.J.Super. 534, 96 A.2d 723 (N.J.Co.1953), 41
- Morro, State v., 127 N.M. 763, 987 P.2d 420 (N.M.App.1999), 224
- Motes v. State, 256 Ga. 831, 353 S.E.2d 348 (Ga.1987), 425
- Mott, State v., 187 Ariz. 536, 931 P.2d 1046 (Ariz.1997), 440
- Mouse, People v., 203 Cal. 782, 265 P. 944 (Cal.1928), 107
- Mulcahy, People v., 318 Ill. 332, 149 N.E. 266 (Ill.1925), 344
- Mullaney v. Wilbur**, 421 U.S. 684, 95 S.Ct. 1881, 44 L.Ed.2d 508 (1975), **268**
- Mullen, State v.**, 740 A.2d 783 (R.I.1999), **69**
- Mullins, United States v., 613 F.3d 1273 (10th Cir.2010), 863
- Murphy, People v., 145 Mich. 524, 108 N.W. 1009 (Mich.1906), 627
- Murphy-Bey v. United States, 982 A.2d 682 (D.C.2009), 512
- Nash v. United States, 229 U.S. 373, 33 S.Ct. 780, 57 L.Ed. 1232 (1913), 61
- Nations, State v., 676 S.W.2d 282 (Mo.App. E.D.1984), 149
- Navarrete, United States v., 125 F.3d 559 (7th Cir.1997), 489
- Navrestad, United States v., 2008 WL 2066032 (U.S. Armed Forces 2008), 237
- Newton, People v., 8 Cal.App.3d 359, 87 Cal. Rptr. 394 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1970), 227
- Nix, United States v., 501 F.2d 516 (7th Cir. 1974), 488
- Norman, State v., 324 N.C. 253, 378 S.E.2d 8 (N.C.1989), 526
- Norris, People v., 40 Mich.App. 45, 198 N.W.2d 430 (Mich.App.1972), 234
- North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25, 91 S.Ct. 160, 27 L.Ed.2d 162, 56 O.O.2d 85 (1970), 420
- Nye & Nissen v. United States, 168 F.2d 846 (9th Cir.1948), 715
- O'Neil, State v., 147 Iowa 513, 126 N.W. 454 (Iowa 1910), 200
- Onofre, People v., 51 N.Y.2d 476, 434 N.Y.S.2d 947, 415 N.E.2d 936 (N.Y.1980), 95
- Orndorff v. Commonwealth, 279 Va. 597, 691 S.E.2d 177 (Va.2010), 448
- Orndorff v. Commonwealth, 44 Va.App. 368, 605 S.E.2d 307 (Va.App.2004), 447
- Orndorff, People v.**, 261 Cal.App.2d 212, 67 Cal.Rptr. 824 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1968), **675**
- Ortega, State v., 112 N.M. 554, 817 P.2d 1196 (N.M.1991), 336
- Ortiz, State v., 104 Wash.2d 479, 706 P.2d 1069 (Wash.1985), 389
- Osborne v. State, 304 Md. 323, 499 A.2d 170 (Md.1985), 916
- Outlaw v. United States, 632 A.2d 408 (D.C. 1993), 913
- Oviedo, United States v., 525 F.2d 881 (5th Cir.1976), 700
- Owens v. State**, 352 Md. 663, 724 A.2d 43 (Md.1999), **640**
- Pace v. State, 248 Ind. 146, 224 N.E.2d 312 (Ind.1967), 817
- Pa., Commonwealth of v. Nelson, 350 U.S. 497, 76 S.Ct. 477, 100 L.Ed. 640 (1956), 106
- Palmer, Commonwealth v., 467 Pa. 476, 359 A.2d 375 (Pa.1976), 512
- Panetti v. Quarterman, 551 U.S. 930, 127 S.Ct. 2842, 168 L.Ed.2d 662 (2007), 400
- Parisie v. Greer, 705 F.2d 882 (7th Cir.1983), 452
- Parisie, People v., 5 Ill.App.3d 1009, 287 N.E.2d 310 (Ill.App. 4 Dist.1972), 452
- Park, United States v.**, 421 U.S. 658, 95 S.Ct. 1903, 44 L.Ed.2d 489 (1975), **899**
- Parker v. State, 7 Md.App. 167, 254 A.2d 381 (Md.App.1969), 489
- Parker, United States v., 554 F.3d 230 (2nd Cir.2009), 779
- Parsons v. State, 81 Ala. 577, 2 So. 854 (Ala. 1887), 405
- Pate v. Robinson**, 383 U.S. 375, 86 S.Ct. 836, 15 L.Ed.2d 815 (1966), **385**

- Patrick, *People v.*, 541 P.2d 320 (Colo.App. 1975), 567
- Patrick, *United States v.*, 532 F.2d 142 (9th Cir.1976), 567
- Patterson v. Commonwealth, 215 Va. 698, 213 S.E.2d 752 (Va.1975), 135
- Patterson v. New York**, 432 U.S. 197, 97 S.Ct. 2319, 53 L.Ed.2d 281 (1977), **275**
- Paul, *United States v.*, 37 F.3d 496 (9th Cir. 1994), 269
- Payne, *People v.*, 359 Ill. 246, 194 N.E. 539 (Ill.1935), 342
- Pearson v. Probate Court, 309 U.S. 270, 273 (1940), 62
- Pecora, *United States v.*, 798 F.2d 614 (3rd Cir.1986), 759
- Penry v. Lynaugh, 492 U.S. 302, 109 S.Ct. 2934, 106 L.Ed.2d 256 (1989), 377
- People v. —(see opposing party)**
- Perez, *People v.*, 9 Cal.Rptr.2d 577, 831 P.2d 1159 (Cal.1992), 308
- Perez v. State, 111 N.M. 160, 803 P.2d 249 (N.M.1990), 194
- Perkins v. State of N.C.**, 234 F.Supp. 333 (W.D.N.C.1964), **96**
- Personal Restraint of Andress, *In re*, 147 Wash.2d 602, 56 P.3d 981 (Wash.2002), 337
- Peterson, United States v.**, 483 F.2d 1222, 157 U.S.App.D.C. 219 (D.C.Cir.1973), **505**
- Phillips, *People v.*, 64 Cal.2d 574, 51 Cal.Rptr. 225, 414 P.2d 353 (Cal.1966), 317
- Pierce, *Commonwealth v.*, 419 Mass. 28, 642 N.E.2d 579 (Mass.1994), 290
- Pierce v. Commonwealth**, 777 S.W.2d 926 (Ky.1989), **102**
- Pierson, *State v.*, 201 Conn. 211, 514 A.2d 724 (Conn.1986), 221
- Pittard, *State v.*, 45 N.C.App. 701, 263 S.E.2d 809 (N.C.App.1980), 560
- Plaspohl, *State v.*, 239 Ind. 324, 157 N.E.2d 579 (Ind.1959), 590
- Poe v. State, 341 Md. 523, 671 A.2d 501 (Md. 1996), 128
- Poe v. Ullman, 367 U.S. 497, 81 S.Ct. 1752, 6 L.Ed.2d 989 (1961), 47
- Pohlot, *United States v.*, 827 F.2d 889 (3rd Cir.1987), 439
- Poindexter, Commonwealth v.**, 133 Ky. 720, 118 S.W. 943 (Ky.1909), **32**
- Pomponio, *United States v.*, 429 U.S. 10, 97 S.Ct. 22, 50 L.Ed.2d 12 (1976), 121
- Pouncey, *People v.*, 437 Mich. 382, 471 N.W.2d 346 (Mich.1991), 289
- Powell v. State, 270 Ga. 327, 510 S.E.2d 18 (Ga.1998), 88
- Powell, *State v.*, 126 Wash.2d 244, 893 P.2d 615 (Wash.1995), 121
- Powell v. State of Tex.**, 392 U.S. 514, 88 S.Ct. 2145, 20 L.Ed.2d 1254 (1968), **457**
- Prairie Schooner News Ltd. and Powers, 75 W.W.R. 585 (Manitoba C.A.1970), 202
- Prante, *People v.*, 177 Colo. 243, 493 P.2d 1083 (Colo.1972), 143
- Pray, *In re*, 133 Vt. 253, 336 A.2d 174 (Vt. 1975), 428
- Prescott, *United States v.*, 581 F.2d 1343 (9th Cir.1978), 914
- Price, *State v.*, 278 S.C. 266, 294 S.E.2d 426 (S.C.1982), 916
- Proffitt v. Florida, 428 U.S. 242, 96 S.Ct. 2960, 49 L.Ed.2d 913 (1976), 376
- Prophet v. United States, 602 A.2d 1087 (D.C. 1992), 850
- Pryor, *United States v.*, 960 F.2d 1 (1st Cir. 1992), 427
- Pulido, *People v.*, 63 Cal.Rptr.2d 625, 936 P.2d 1235 (Cal.1997), 851
- Putty v. Commonwealth, 30 S.W.3d 156 (Ky. 2000), 813
- Q.D., State v.**, 102 Wash.2d 19, 685 P.2d 557 (Wash.1984), **497**
- Quentin, *People v.*, 58 Misc.2d 601, 296 N.Y.S.2d 443 (N.Y.Dist.Ct.1968), 809
- Quintana, *State v.*, 308 Wis.2d 615, 748 N.W.2d 447 (Wis.2008), 45
- R. v. Raney*, 29 Crim.App. 14 (1942), 283
- R. v. Prairie Schooner News Ltd.*, 1970 WL 97422 (Man. C.A.1970), 202
- Rambo, *Commonwealth v.*, 488 Pa. 334, 412 A.2d 535 (Pa.1980), 233
- Ramsey, *People v.*, 422 Mich. 500, 375 N.W.2d 297 (Mich.1985), 431
- Randolph, *State v.*, 676 S.W.2d 943 (Tenn. 1984), 318
- Ratzlaf v. United States, 510 U.S. 135, 114 S.Ct. 655, 126 L.Ed.2d 615 (1994), 209
- Reed, *State v.*, 125 N.M. 552, 964 P.2d 113 (N.M.1998), 155
- Reed, *State v.*, 280 Wis.2d 68, 695 N.W.2d 315 (Wis.2005), 916
- Reese, *United States v.*, 92 U.S. 270, 273 (1940), 62
- Regina v. William Roberts, 1855 WL 10445 (Crown Cases Reserved 1855), 673
- Reliable Consultants, Inc. v. Earle, 517 F.3d 738 (5th Cir.2008), 92
- Reynolds v. State, 664 P.2d 621 (Alaska App. 1983), 659
- Reynolds, *United States v.*, 919 F.2d 435 (7th Cir.1990), 713
- Richardson, *State ex rel. Johnson v.*, 276 Or. 325, 555 P.2d 202 (Or.1976), 425
- Rigas, *United States v.*, 584 F.3d 594 (3rd Cir.2009), 767
- Riggins v. Nevada, 504 U.S. 127, 112 S.Ct. 1810, 118 L.Ed.2d 479 (1992), 391
- Riles v. McCotter, 799 F.2d 947 (5th Cir.1986), 424
- Riley, *State v.*, 137 Wash.2d 904, 976 P.2d 624 (Wash.1999), 511
- Riley, *State v.*, 158 W.Va. 823, 215 S.E.2d 460 (W.Va.1975), 908
- Riley v. State, 60 P.3d 204 (Alaska App.2002), 843
- Rivera v. Delaware, 429 U.S. 877, 97 S.Ct. 226, 50 L.Ed.2d 160 (1976), 427
- Rivera, *United States v.*, 944 F.2d 1563 (11th Cir.1991), 150

- Rizzo, People v.**, 246 N.Y. 334, 158 N.E. 888 (N.Y.1927), **673**
- Roberts v. Louisiana, 431 U.S. 633, 97 S.Ct. 1993, 52 L.Ed.2d 637, 5 O.O.3d 252 (1977), 379
- Roberts v. Louisiana, 428 U.S. 325, 96 S.Ct. 3001, 49 L.Ed.2d 974 (1976), 378
- Rocker, State v.**, 52 Haw. 336, 475 P.2d 684 (Hawai'i 1970), **117**
- Rogers v. Tennessee, 532 U.S. 451, 121 S.Ct. 1693, 149 L.Ed.2d 697 (2001), 69
- Romano, State v., 155 P.3d 1102 (Hawai'i 2007), 93
- Rooks, State v., 266 Ga. 528, 468 S.E.2d 354 (Ga.1996), 690
- Root, Commonwealth v., 403 Pa. 571, 170 A.2d 310 (Pa.1961), 358
- Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551, 125 S.Ct. 1183, 161 L.Ed.2d 1 (2005), 382
- Rose v. Locke**, 423 U.S. 48, 96 S.Ct. 243, 46 L.Ed.2d 185 (1975), **56**
- Rose, State v.**, 112 R.I. 402, 311 A.2d 281 (R.I.1973), **347**
- Rossi, State v., 520 A.2d 582 (R.I.1987), 812
- Rouse v. Cameron, 373 F.2d 451, 125 U.S.App. D.C. 366 (D.C.Cir.1966), 434
- Roy v. United States, 652 A.2d 1098 (D.C. 1995), 856
- Rozell v. State, 502 S.W.2d 16 (Tex.Crim.App. 1973), 875
- Rude v. State, 851 P.2d 15 (Wyo.1993), 719
- Ruffin v. United States, 642 A.2d 1288, 1294-95 (D.C.App.1994), 128
- Rush, United States v., 666 F.2d 10 (2nd Cir. 1981), 738
- Rutledge v. United States, 517 U.S. 292, 116 S.Ct. 1241, 134 L.Ed.2d 419 (1996), 767
- R. v. Blaue (Robert Konrad)**, 1975 WL 45444 (CA (Crim Div) 1975), **355**
- R. v. Caldwell (James), 1981 WL 188104 (HL 1981), 170
- R. v. Collins (Stephen William George), 1972 WL 37521 (CA (Crim Div) 1972), 634
- R. v. Cunningham (Roy)**, 1957 WL 18224 (CCA 1957), **113**
- R. v. Eagleton**, 1855 WL 8068 (Crown Cases Reserved 1855), **672**
- R. v. Griffiths (Douglas Anthony), 1965 WL 20260 (CCA 1965), 773
- R. v. McNaughten**, 1843 WL 5869 (HL 1843), **402**
- R. v. Meyrick (Kate Evelyn), 1929 WL 26098 (CCA 1929), 773
- R. v. White (John), 1910 WL 15762 (CCA 1910), 673
- Ryan, State v., 48 Conn.App. 148, 709 A.2d 21 (Conn.App.1998), 808
- Sacramento, County of v. Lewis, 523 U.S. 833, 118 S.Ct. 1708, 140 L.Ed.2d 1043 (1998), 547
- Saille, People v., 2 Cal.Rptr.2d 364, 820 P.2d 588 (Cal.1991), 445
- Sain, United States v., 141 F.3d 463 (3rd Cir. 1998), 908
- Sak v. State, 129 Ga.App. 301, 199 S.E.2d 628 (Ga.App.1973), 789
- Salas v. State, 365 S.W.2d 174 (Tex.Crim.App. 1963), 465
- Salgado, United States v., 519 F.3d 411 (7th Cir.2008), 746
- Samuels, People v., 250 Cal.App.2d 501, 58 Cal.Rptr. 439 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1967), 588
- Sandstrom v. Montana**, 442 U.S. 510, 99 S.Ct. 2450, 61 L.Ed.2d 39 (1979), **135**
- San Nicolas, People v., 21 Cal.Rptr.3d 612, 101 P.3d 509 (Cal.2004), 596
- Santa Rita Store Co., United States v., 16 N.M. 3, 113 P. 620 (N.M.Terr.1911), 800
- Santiago, Commonwealth v., 462 Pa. 216, 340 A.2d 440 (Pa.1975), 576
- Santillanes v. State, 115 N.M. 215, 849 P.2d 358 (N.M.1993), 160
- Sarun Chun, People v., 91 Cal.Rptr.3d 106, 203 P.3d 425 (Cal.2009), 339
- Sawatzky v. City of Oklahoma City, 906 P.2d 785 (Okla.Crim.App.1995), 95
- Schoon, United States v., 971 F.2d 193 (9th Cir.1991), 564
- Schulz, State v., 102 Wis.2d 423, 307 N.W.2d 151 (Wis.1981), 488
- Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S. 372, 127 S.Ct. 1769, 167 L.Ed.2d 686 (2007), 547
- Scott, People v., 68 Cal.Rptr.3d 592 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.2007), 92
- Scott, United States v., 529 F.2d 338, 174 U.S.App.D.C. 96 (D.C.Cir.1975), 487
- See v. State, 296 Ark. 498, 757 S.W.2d 947 (Ark.1988), 495
- Selby, State v., 183 N.J.Super. 273, 443 A.2d 1076 (N.J.Super.A.D.1981), 361
- Sell v. United States, 539 U.S. 166, 123 S.Ct. 2174, 156 L.Ed.2d 197 (2003), 391
- Serebin, State v., 119 Wis.2d 837, 350 N.W.2d 65 (Wis.1984), 346
- Settles v. United States, 522 A.2d 348 (D.C. 1987), 827
- Sexton, State v.**, 160 N.J. 93, 733 A.2d 1125 (N.J.1999), **188**
- Sexton, State v., 232 Kan. 539, 657 P.2d 43 (Kan.1983), 726
- Shabani, United States v., 513 U.S. 10, 115 S.Ct. 382, 130 L.Ed.2d 225 (1994), 761
- Shackelford, United States v., 494 F.2d 67 (9th Cir.1974), 427
- Shaffer, Commonwealth v., 367 Mass. 508, 326 N.E.2d 880 (Mass.1975), 512
- Sharif, United States v., 817 F.2d 1375 (9th Cir.1987), 721
- Shaw v. DPP**, 1961 WL 21142 (HL 1961), **748**
- Sherman, State v., 266 S.W.3d 395 (Tenn. 2008), 252
- Sigma Reproductive Health Center v. State, 297 Md. 660, 467 A.2d 483 (Md.1983), 566
- Sikora, State ex rel. v. District Court of Thirteenth Judicial Dist. In and For Yellowstone and Stillwater Counties, 154 Mont. 241, 462 P.2d 897 (Mont.1969), 423
- Simcock, Commonwealth v., 31 Mass.App.Ct. 184, 575 N.E.2d 1137 (Mass.App.Ct.1991), 654

- Simonson, *State v.*, 298 Minn. 235, 214 N.W.2d 679 (Minn.1974), 124
- Simplot, *State v.*, 180 Wis.2d 383, 509 N.W.2d 338 (Wis.App.1993), 867
- Singleton v. Norris, 319 F.3d 1018 (8th Cir. 2003), 401
- Singson v. Commonwealth, 46 Va.App. 724, 621 S.E.2d 682 (Va.App.2005), 89
- Skinner, *People v.*, 217 Cal.Rptr. 685, 704 P.2d 752 (Cal.1985), 404
- Skipper, Commonwealth v.**, 222 Pa.Super. 242, 294 A.2d 780 (Pa.Super.1972), **677**
- Smallwood v. State**, 343 Md. 97, 680 A.2d 512 (Md.1996), **129**
- Smith, *In re*, 102 Cal.Rptr. 335, 497 P.2d 807 (Cal.1972), 120
- Smith, *In re*, 90 Cal.Rptr. 1, 474 P.2d 969 (Cal.1970), 670
- Smith v. Commonwealth, 54 Pa. 209 (Pa.1867), 807
- Smith v. Doe, 538 U.S. 84, 123 S.Ct. 1140, 155 L.Ed.2d 164 (2003), 101
- Smith, *State v.*, 262 N.J.Super. 487, 621 A.2d 493 (N.J.Super.A.D.1993), 702
- Smith, *State v.*, 123 N.H. 46, 455 A.2d 1041 (N.H.1983), 289
- Smith, *State v.*, 185 Conn. 63, 441 A.2d 84 (Conn.1981), 170
- Smith v. State**, 415 Md. 174, 999 A.2d 986 (Md.2010), **234**
- Smith, *State v.*, 2009 WL 3364347 (La.2009), 830
- Smith, *United States v.*, 464 F.2d 1129 (2nd Cir.1972), 786
- Smith, *United States v.*, 2008 WL 948260 (C.G.Ct.Crim.App.2008), 110
- Smithy, *People v.*, 86 Cal.Rptr.2d 243, 978 P.2d 1171 (Cal.1999), 303
- Sneed, *People v.*, 183 Colo. 96, 514 P.2d 776 (Colo.1973), 303
- Snyder, *People v.*, 186 Cal.Rptr. 485, 652 P.2d 42 (Cal.1982), 202
- Sobiek, *People v.*, 30 Cal.App.3d 458, 106 Cal. Rptr. 519 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1973), 67
- Solem v. Helm, 463 U.S. 277, 103 S.Ct. 3001, 77 L.Ed.2d 637 (1983), 99
- Southern, *State v.*, 304 N.W.2d 329 (Minn. 1981), 348
- Spaziano v. Florida, 468 U.S. 447, 104 S.Ct. 3154, 82 L.Ed.2d 340 (1984), 377
- Spingola, *United States v.*, 464 F.2d 909 (7th Cir.1972), 252
- Springer v. Commonwealth, 998 S.W.2d 439 (Ky.1999), 864
- Stanley v. Illinois, 405 U.S. 645, 92 S.Ct. 1208, 31 L.Ed.2d 551 (1972), 641
- Staples, People v.**, 6 Cal.App.3d 61, 85 Cal. Rptr. 589 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1970), **704**
- State v. — (see opposing party)**
- State ex rel. v. — (see opposing party and relator)**
- State in Interest of M.T.S., 129 N.J. 422, 609 A.2d 1266 (N.J.1992), 633
- St. Christopher, State v.**, 305 Minn. 226, 232 N.W.2d 798 (Minn.1975), **722**
- St. Clair, *State v.*, 262 S.W.2d 25 (Mo.1953), 574
- Stephens v. State**, 734 P.2d 555 (Wyo.1987), **909**
- Stepniewski v. Gagnon, 732 F.2d 567 (7th Cir. 1984), 182
- Stepniewski, State v.**, 105 Wis.2d 261, 314 N.W.2d 98 (Wis.1982), **175**
- Sterling, *State v.*, 235 Kan. 526, 680 P.2d 301 (Kan.1984), 487
- Stevison, *United States v.*, 471 F.2d 143 (7th Cir.1972), 576
- Stewart, *People v.*, 40 N.Y.2d 692, 389 N.Y.S.2d 804, 358 N.E.2d 487 (N.Y.1976), 363
- Stewart, *State v.*, 143 Wis.2d 28, 420 N.W.2d 44 (Wis.1988), 709
- Stirewalt, *United States v.*, 2004 WL 2186554 (U.S. Armed Forces 2004), 110
- Stone, *People v.*, 92 Cal.Rptr.3d 362, 205 P.3d 272 (Cal.2009), 129
- Striggles, *State v.*, 202 Iowa 1318, 210 N.W. 137 (Iowa 1926), 200
- Stuart, *People v.*, 47 Cal.2d 167, 302 P.2d 5 (Cal.1956), 345
- Suliveres v. Commonwealth, 449 Mass. 112, 865 N.E.2d 1086 (Mass.2007), 622
- Sumner v. Shuman, 483 U.S. 66, 107 S.Ct. 2716, 97 L.Ed.2d 56 (1987), 379
- Sundberg v. State, 234 Ga. 482, 216 S.E.2d 332 (Ga.1975), 45
- Superior Court, People v.**, 104 Cal.Rptr.3d 764, 224 P.3d 86 (Cal.2010), **859**
- Superior Court, *People v.*, 58 Cal.Rptr.3d 421, 157 P.3d 1017 (Cal.2007), 811
- Tally, *State v.*, 102 Ala. 25, 15 So. 722 (Ala. 1894), 820
- Tanner v. *United States*, 483 U.S. 107, 107 S.Ct. 2739, 97 L.Ed.2d 90 (1987), 753
- Taylor, *Commonwealth v.*, 5 Binn. 277, 281 (Pa.1812), 34
- Taylor v. District Court In and For Tenth Judicial Dist., 182 Colo. 406, 514 P.2d 309 (Colo.1973), 424
- Taylor, *State v.*, 70 Vt. 1, 39 A. 447 (Vt.1898), 832
- Tazwell, *State v.*, 1878 WL 8509 (La.1878), 819
- Tennant, *State v.*, 173 W.Va. 627, 319 S.E.2d 395 (W.Va.1984), 252
- Tennessee v. Garner**, 471 U.S. 1, 105 S.Ct. 1694, 85 L.Ed.2d 1 (1985), **538**
- Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1, 88 S.Ct. 1868, 20 L.Ed.2d 889, 44 O.O.2d 383 (1968), 684
- Texaco, Inc. v. Short, 454 U.S. 516, 102 S.Ct. 781, 70 L.Ed.2d 738 (1982), 204
- Thomas v. Commonwealth, 279 Va. 131, 688 S.E.2d 220 (Va.2010), 916
- Thomas, *State v.*, 77 Ohio St.3d 323, 673 N.E.2d 1339 (Ohio 1997), 513
- Thomas v. State, 275 Ind. 499, 417 N.E.2d 1124 (Ind.1981), 916
- Thomas, *State v.*, 362 So.2d 1348 (Fla.1978), 689
- Thomas, *State v.*, 140 N.J.Super. 429, 356 A.2d 433 (N.J.Super.A.D.1976), 871

- Thomas, United States v.**, 1962 WL 4490 (CMA 1962), **691**
- Thompson, State v.**, 243 Mont. 28, 792 P.2d 1103 (Mont.1990), **607**
- Thompson, State v., 88 Wash.2d 13, 558 P.2d 202 (Wash.1977), 337
- Thompson, State v., 95 Ohio St.3d 264, 767 N.E.2d 251 (Ohio 2002), 95
- Thompson, State ex rel. Brown v., 149 W.Va. 649, 142 S.E.2d 711 (W.Va.1965), 916
- Thornton v. State, 397 Md. 704, 919 A.2d 678 (Md.2007), 320
- Thorpe, State v., 429 A.2d 785 (R.I.1981), 559
- Tinskey, People v., 394 Mich. 108, 228 N.W.2d 782 (Mich.1975), 760
- Tippetts, State v., 180 Or.App. 350, 43 P.3d 455 (Or.App.2002), 226
- Tischman, People v., 40 Cal.Rptr.2d 650 (Cal. App. 2 Dist.1995), 592
- Tison v. Arizona, 481 U.S. 137, 107 S.Ct. 1676, 95 L.Ed.2d 127 (1987), 381
- Todd v. State, 594 So.2d 802 (Fla.App. 5 Dist. 1992), 362
- Toscano, State v., 74 N.J. 421, 378 A.2d 755 (N.J.1977), 574
- Townes, People v., 391 Mich. 578, 218 N.W.2d 136 (Mich.1974), 511
- Trainor, United States v., 477 F.3d 24 (1st Cir.2007), 773
- Travers, People v., 52 Cal.App.3d 111, 124 Cal.Rptr. 728 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1975), 882
- Tronca, State v., 84 Wis.2d 68, 267 N.W.2d 216 (Wis.1978), 875
- Trussell, State v., 289 Kan. 499, 213 P.3d 1052 (Kan.2009), 832
- Turner, People v., 125 Mich.App. 8, 336 N.W.2d 217 (Mich.App.1983), 841
- Ulvinen, State v., 313 N.W.2d 425 (Minn.1981), 819
- Underwood, State v., 228 Kan. 294, 615 P.2d 153 (Kan.1980), 331
- Unger, People v., 33 Ill.App.3d 770, 338 N.E.2d 442 (Ill.App. 3 Dist.1975), 575
- Union Pacific Coal Co. v. United States, 173 F. 737 (8th Cir.1909), 800
- United States v. — (see opposing party)**
- United States ex rel. v. — (see opposing party and relator)**
- U.S. Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of California, Los Angeles, Cal., United States v., 858 F.2d 534 (9th Cir.1988), 91, 185
- U.S. Gypsum Co., United States v., 438 U.S. 422, 98 S.Ct. 2864, 57 L.Ed.2d 854 (1978), 732, 789
- Vacco v. Quill, 521 U.S. 793, 117 S.Ct. 2293, 138 L.Ed.2d 834 (1997), 582
- Vachon v. New Hampshire, 414 U.S. 478, 94 S.Ct. 664, 38 L.Ed.2d 666 (1974), 882
- Vanderbilt, People v., 199 Cal. 461, 249 P. 867 (Cal.1926), 712
- Van Houten v. People, 22 Colo. 53, 43 P. 137 (Colo.1895), 303
- Vardas v. Estelle, 715 F.2d 206 (5th Cir.1983), 429
- Ventimiglia v. United States**, 242 F.2d 620 (4th Cir.1957), **756**
- Verdugo, People v., 113 Cal.Rptr.3d 803, 236 P.3d 1035 (Cal.2010), 292
- Vlandis v. Kline, 412 U.S. 441, 93 S.Ct. 2230, 37 L.Ed.2d 63 (1973), 641
- Von Hecht, People v., 133 Cal.App.2d 25, 283 P.2d 764 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1955), 707
- Wages v. United States, 952 A.2d 952 (D.C. 2008), 124
- Walden, State v., 306 N.C. 466, 293 S.E.2d 780 (N.C.1982), 818
- Walker, People v., 33 Ill.App.3d 681, 338 N.E.2d 449 (Ill.App. 2 Dist.1975), 497
- Walker v. Superior Court, 253 Cal.Rptr. 1, 763 P.2d 852 (Cal.1988), 160
- Waller v. Florida, 397 U.S. 387, 90 S.Ct. 1184, 25 L.Ed.2d 435, 52 O.O.2d 320 (1970), 105
- Walton, State v., 615 A.2d 469 (R.I.1992), 514
- Wardius v. Oregon, 412 U.S. 470, 93 S.Ct. 2208, 37 L.Ed.2d 82 (1973), 423
- Ware, State v., 27 Ariz.App. 645, 557 P.2d 1077 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 1976), 143
- Warshow, State v.**, 138 Vt. 22, 410 A.2d 1000 (Vt.1979), **560**
- Washington v. Glucksberg**, 521 U.S. 702, 117 S.Ct. 2258, 138 L.Ed.2d 772 (1997), **577**
- Washington, People v.**, 58 Cal.App.3d 620, 130 Cal.Rptr. 96 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1976), **280**
- Watkins v. State, 357 Md. 258, 744 A.2d 1 (Md.2000), 851
- Watson, People v., 179 Cal.Rptr. 43, 637 P.2d 279 (Cal.1981), 319
- Watts v. Bonneville, 879 F.2d 685 (9th Cir. 1989), 822
- Watts v. State, 885 N.E.2d 1228 (Ind.2008), 293
- Weeks, People v., 86 Ill.App.2d 480, 230 N.E.2d 12 (Ill.App. 2 Dist.1967), 666
- Welansky, Commonwealth v., 316 Mass. 383, 55 N.E.2d 902 (Mass.1944), 326
- Werblov, People v., 241 N.Y. 55, 148 N.E. 786 (N.Y.1925), 807
- Werner v. State, 711 S.W.2d 639 (Tex.Crim. App.1986), 527
- Wetmore, People v., 149 Cal.Rptr. 265, 583 P.2d 1308 (Cal.1978), 445
- White v. State, 44 Ohio App. 331, 185 N.E. 64 (Ohio App. 4 Dist.1933), 654
- White, United States v., 610 F.3d 956 (7th Cir.2010), 808
- Whiteley, State v., 172 N.C.App. 772, 616 S.E.2d 576 (N.C.App.2005), 90
- Whitmarsh, State v.**, 26 S.D. 426, 128 N.W. 580 (S.D.1910), **37**
- Whitner v. State, 328 S.C. 1, 492 S.E.2d 777 (S.C.1997), 265
- Whitney v. State, 726 N.E.2d 823 (Ind.App. 2000), 154
- Wiegmann, State v., 350 Md. 585, 714 A.2d 841 (Md.1998), 553
- Wilcox, People v., 83 Mich.App. 654, 269 N.W.2d 256 (Mich.App.1978), 882
- Wilder, People v., 411 Mich. 328, 308 N.W.2d 112 (Mich.1981), 339

- Wiley, *United States v.*, 492 F.2d 547, 160 U.S.App.D.C. 281 (D.C.Cir.1973), 596
- William G., *In re*, 192 Ariz. 208, 963 P.2d 287 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 1997), 167
- Williams, *Commonwealth v.*, 133 Pa.Super. 104, 1 A.2d 812 (Pa.Super.1938), 345
- Williams v. Florida, 399 U.S. 78, 90 S.Ct. 1893, 26 L.Ed.2d 446, 53 O.O.2d 55 (1970), 423
- Williams v. Morgan, 478 F.3d 1316 (11th Cir. 2007), 92
- Williams, *People v.*, 4 Cal.4th 354, 14 cal. Rptr.2d 441, 841 P.2d 961 (1992), 657
- Williams, *State v.*, 142 Vt. 81, 451 A.2d 1142 (Vt.1982), 915
- Williams, *State v.*, 4 Wash.App. 908, 484 P.2d 1167 (Wash.App. Div. 1 1971), 160
- Williquette, State v.**, 129 Wis.2d 239, 385 N.W.2d 145 (Wis.1986), **246**
- Willis v. State**, 888 N.E.2d 177 (Ind.2008), **555**
- Willis, *United States v.*, 38 F.3d 170 (5th Cir. 1994), 576
- Willmes v. Reno Mun. Court, 118 Nev. 831, 59 P.3d 1197 (Nev.2002), 593
- Wilson v. People, 103 Colo. 441, 87 P.2d 5 (Colo.1939), 831
- Wilson v. State**, 288 So.2d 480 (Fla.1974), **63**
- Wilson, *State v.*, 218 Or. 575, 346 P.2d 115 (Or.1959), 687
- Wilson v. State, 279 Ga.App. 459, 631 S.E.2d 391 (Ga.App.2006), 100
- Wilson v. State, 85 Miss. 687, 38 So. 46 (Miss. 1905), 702
- Wilson-Bey v. *United States*, 903 A.2d 818 (D.C.2006), 858
- Winship, *In re*, 397 U.S. 358, 90 S.Ct. 1068, 25 L.Ed.2d 368, 51 O.O.2d 323 (1970), 278
- Winters v. New York, 333 U.S. 507, 68 S.Ct. 665, 92 L.Ed. 840 (1948), 62
- Wolcott, *Commonwealth v.*, 77 Mass.App.Ct. 457, 931 N.E.2d 1025 (Mass.App.Ct.2010), 809
- Wolff, *People v.*, 61 Cal.2d 795, 40 Cal.Rptr. 271, 394 P.2d 959 (Cal.1964), 303
- Wong, *State v.*, 125 N.H. 610, 486 A.2d 262 (N.H.1984), 174
- Woodson v. North Carolina, 428 U.S. 280, 96 S.Ct. 2978, 49 L.Ed.2d 944 (1976), 377
- Wyatt v. *Commonwealth*, 219 S.W.3d 751 (Ky. 2007), 813
- Y. Hata & Co., Ltd., *United States v.*, 535 F.2d 508 (9th Cir.1976), 907
- Young, *United States v.*, 613 F.3d 735 (8th Cir.2010), 707
- Yow, *United States v.*, 465 F.2d 1328 (8th Cir.1972), 786
- Yslas, *State v.*, 139 Ariz. 60, 676 P.2d 1118 (Ariz.1984), 786
- Yukl, *People v.*, 83 Misc.2d 364, 372 N.Y.S.2d 313 (N.Y.Sup.1975), 445
- Zegeer, *State ex rel. Harper v.*, 170 W.Va. 743, 296 S.E.2d 873 (W.Va.1982), 466
- Ziatz v. *People*, 171 Colo. 58, 465 P.2d 406 (Colo.1970), 720
- Zimmerman, *People v.*, 46 A.D.2d 725, 360 N.Y.S.2d 127 (N.Y.A.D. 4 Dept.1974), 667

TABLE OF OTHER AUTHORITIES

References are to pages. Except with respect to the Model Penal Code, authorities cited in principal cases or within other quoted materials are not included.

Books

- Administration Office of the U.S. Court, *Federal Offenders in the United States District Courts*, 1969 (1971), 10
- American Psychiatric Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed.1994), 450
- Arnold, *The Symbols of Government* (1935), 49
- Bochnak, Elizabeth, *Women's Self-defense Cases: Theory and Practice* (1981), 523
- Brakel, Samuel J., John Parry & Barbara A. Weiner, *The Mentally Disabled and the Law* (3d ed.1985), 383, 417, 418, 431
- Burdick, William L., *The Law of Crime* (1946), 633
- Calabresi, Guido, *A Common Law for the Age of Statutes* (1982), 40
- Cardozo, Benjamin, *Law and Literature and Other Essays and Addresses* (1931), 303
- Devitt and Blackmar, *Federal Jury Practice and Instructions*, p. 302
- Estrich, Susan, *Real Rape* (1987), 598, 657
- Frankel, Marvin E., *Criminal Sentences* (1973), 10
- Goldstein, Abraham, *The Insanity Defense* (1967), 408, 413, 414, 428, 434
- Gray, *Attorneys' Textbook of Medicine*, § 96.01 (3rd ed. 1949), 214
- Hall, Jerome, *General Principles of Criminal Law* (2d ed.1960), 365, 693
- Hart, H.L.A., *Punishment and Responsibility* (1968), 157, 380
- Holmes, O., *Common Law* (1881), 18, 34, 333
- LaFave, Wayne R., *Criminal Law* (5th ed.2010), 655, 657
- LaFave, Wayne R., Jerold H. Israel, Nancy J. King & Orin Kerr, *Criminal Procedure* § 1.2 (5th ed.2009), 2
- LaFave, Wayne R. & Austin W. Scott, *Criminal Law* (1972), 299, 352, 354
- LaFave, Wayne R. & Austin W. Scott, *Substantive Criminal Law* (1986), 159, 343
- Llewellyn, Karl, *The Common Law Tradition* (1960), 44
- Matthews, Arthur R., *Mental Disability and the Criminal Law* (1970), 383, 419, 426
- Moore, Michael S., *Act and Crime: The Philosophy of Action and Its Implications for Criminal Law* (1993), 223
- Morris, Norval, & Gordon Hawkins, *The Honest Politician's Guide to Crime Control* (1970), 418
- Perkins, R., *Criminal Law* (2d ed. 1969), 146
- Perkins, Rollin M. & Ronald N. Boyce, *Criminal Law* (3d ed. 1982), 123
- Rotunda, Ronald D. & John E. Nowak, *Treatise on Constitutional Law* § 15.4(e) (4th ed.2007), 90
- Schulhofer, Stephen J., *Unwanted Sex: The Culture of Intimidation and the Failure of Law* (1998), 598
- Stephen, J., *History of the Criminal Law* (1883), 305
- Walker, Lenore, *The Battered Woman Syndrome* (1979), 520
- Williams, Glanville, *Criminal Law: The General Part* (2d ed.1961), 146, 577, 681, 703, 894

Articles

- Aagaard, Todd S., *A Fresh Look at the Responsible Relation Doctrine*, 96 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1245 (2006), 907
- Abrams, Norman, *Criminal Liability of Corporate Officers for Strict Liability Offenses—A Comment on Dotterweich and Park*, 28 U.C.L.A.L.Rev. 463 (1981), 906
- Adelman, Lynn & Jon Deitrich, *Marvin Frankel's Mistakes and the Need to Rethink Federal Sentencing*, 13 Berkeley J.Crim.L. 239 (2008), 26, 29
- Alexander, Larry, *Insufficient Concern: A Unified Conception of Criminal Culpability*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 931 (2000), 166, 174
- Alexander, Larry, *The Supreme Court, Dr. Jekyll, and the Due Process of Proof*, 1996 Sup.Ct.Rev. 191, p. 486
- Alexander, Larry & Kimberly D. Kessler, *Mens Rea and Inchoate Crimes*, 87 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1138 (1997), 673
- Allen, Ronald J., & Lee Ann DeGrazia, *The Constitutional Requirement of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt in Criminal Cases: A Comment Upon Incipient Chaos in the Lower Courts*, 20 Am.Crim.L.Rev. 1 (1982), 139, 140, 246
- Allen, Ronald J., *Montana v. Egelhoff—Reflections on the Limits of Legislative Imagination and Judicial Authority*, 87 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 633 (1997), 485
- Althouse, Ann, *The Lying Woman, the Devious Prostitute, and Other Stories From the Evidence Casebook*, 88 Nw.U.L.Rev. 914 (1994), 652
- Anderson, Michelle J., *Marital Immunity, Intimate Relationships, and Improper Inferences: A New Law on Sexual Offenses by Intimates*, 54 Hastings L.J. 1465 (2003), 640
- Anderson, Michelle J., *Negotiating Sex*, 78 S.Cal.L.Rev. 1401 (2005), 632
- Anderson, Michelle J., *Reviving Resistance in Rape Law*, 1998 U.Ill.L.Rev. 953, p. 627
- Backman, Ronet, & Raymond Paternoster, *A Contemporary Look at the Effects of Rape Law Reform: How Far Have We Really Come?*, 84 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 554 (1993), 597
- Baker, Dennis J., *The Moral Limits of Consent as a Defense in Criminal Law*, 12 New Crim.L.Rev. 93 (2009), 589
- Balos, Beverly & Mary Louise Fellows, *Guilty of the Crime of Trust: Nonstranger Rape*, 75 Minn.L.Rev. 599, 609 (1991), 604
- Batey, Robert, *Vagueness and the Construction of Criminal Statutes—Balancing Acts*, 5 Va. J.Soc.Pol. & L. 1 (1997), 68
- Belenko, Steven, *The Challenges of Integrating Drug Treatment Into the Criminal Justice Process*, 63 Alb.L.Rev. 833 (2000), 477
- Bergelson, Vera, *Conditional Rights and Comparative Wrongs: More on the Theory and Application of Comparative Criminal Liability*, 8 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 567 (2005), 590
- Bergelson, Vera, *Victims and Perpetrators: An Argument for Comparative Liability in Criminal Law*, 8 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 385, 389 (2005), 590
- Berger, Vivian, *Rape Law Reform at the Millennium*, 3 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 513 (2000), 604, 631
- Binder, Guyora, *The Culpability of Felony Murder*, 83 Note Dame L.Rev. 965, 967 (2008), 334
- Bonfield, Arthur E., *The Abrogation of Penal Statutes by Nonenforcement*, 49 Iowa L.Rev. 389 (1964), 51
- Bonnie, Richard, *The Moral Basis of the Insanity Defense*, 69 A.B.A.J. 194 (1983), 431
- Boorse, Christopher & Sorensen, Roy A., *Ducking Harm*, 85 J.Phil. 115 (1988), 223
- Bowers, Josh, *Contraindicated Drug Courts*, 55 UCLA L.Rev. 783 (2008), 476
- Bowman, Frank O., III, *Fear of Law: Thoughts on Fear of Judging and the State of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 44 St. Louis U.L.J. 299 (2000), 28
- Brantner, Paula A., *Removing Bricks from a Wall of Discrimination: State Constitutional Challenges to Sodomy Laws*, 19 Hastings Const. L.Q. 495, 498 (1992), 49
- Brett, Peter, *The Physiology of Provocation*, 1970 Crim. L.Rev. 634, p. 283
- Brickey, Kathleen F., *Conspiracy, Group Danger and the Corporate Defendant*, 52 U.Cin.L.Rev. 431 (1983), 802
- Brickey, Kathleen F., *Criminal Liability of Corporate Officers for Strict Liability Offenses—Another View*, 35 Vand.L.Rev. 1337 (1982), 907
- Brownstein, Alan, *The Constitutionalization of Self-Defense in Tort and Criminal Law, Gramatically-Correct Originalism, and Other Second Amendment Musings*, 60 Hastings L.J. 1205 (2009), 518
- Bryden, David P., *Redefining Rape*, Buff.Crim. L.Rev. 317 (2000), 603, 612, 613, 623, 624, 625, 628, 631, 656
- Burgman, Dierdre A., *Unilateral Conspiracy: Three Critical Perspectives*, 29 DePaul L.Rev. 75 (1979), 725
- Burke, Alafair S., *Rational Actors, Self-Defense, and Duress: Making Sense, Not Syndromes, Out of the Battered Woman*, 81 N.C.L.Rev. 211 (2002), 524
- Burt, Robert A. & Norval Morris, *A Proposal for the Abolition of the Incompetency Plea*, 40 U.Chi.L.Rev. 66 (1972), 399
- Busey, Pamela H., *Corporate Criminal Liability: When Does It Make Sense*, 46 Am.Crim. L.Rev. 1437–38 (2009), 896
- Buxton, Richard, *Incitement and Attempt*, 1973 Crim. L.Rev. 656, p. 671
- Cahill, Michael T., *Attempt by Omission*, 94 Iowa L.Rev. 1207 (2009), 685

- Cahill, Michael T., *Attempt, Reckless Homicide, and the Design of Criminal Law*, 78 U.Colo. L.Rev. 879 (2007), 667
- Cahn, Naomi R., *Pragmatic Questions About Parental Liability Statutes*, 1996 Wis.L.Rev. 399, p. 885
- Carpenter, Dale, *Is Lawrence Libertarian?*, 88 Minn. L.Rev. 1140, 1159 (2004), 88
- Chemerinsky, Erwin, *Washington v. Glucksberg Was Tragically Wrong*, 106 Mich. L.Rev. 1501 (2008), 585
- Chevigny, Paul G., *The Right to Resist an Unlawful Arrest*, 78 Yale L.J. 1128 (1969), 553
- Christopher, Russell L. & Kathryn H. Christopher, *Adult Impersonation: Rape by Fraud as a Defense to Statutory Rape*, 101 Nw. U.L.Rev. 75 (2007), 661
- Ciociola, Gabriel D. M., *Misprision of Felony and Its Progeny*, 41 Brandeis L.J. 697 (2003), 920
- Clarkson, Christopher M.V., *Attempt: The Conduct Requirement*, 29 Oxford J.Legal Stud. 25 (2009), 681
- Coffee, John C., Jr., *Corporate Criminal Responsibility*, 1 Encyclopedia of Crime & Justice 253 (1983), 896
- Cohan, John Alan, *Civil Disobedience and the Necessity Defense*, 6 Pierce L.Rev. 111 (2007), 565
- Cohen, Marsha, *Enforcement Under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act—The Park Case in Perspective*, 30 Food Drug Cosm.L.J. 676 (1975), 906
- Coker, Donna K., *Heat of Passion and Wife Killing: Men Who Batter/Men Who Kill*, 2 So.Cal.Rev.L. & Women's Stud. 71 (1992), 292
- Coughlin, Anne M., *Excusing Women*, 82 Calif.L.Rev. 1 (1994), 525
- Creason, J. Cross, *Eliminating the Use of Civil Compromise in Cases of Domestic Violence, Elder Abuse, and Child Abuse*, 29 McGeorge L.Rev. 641 (1998), 593
- Crocker, Lawrence, *Justice in Criminal Liability: Decriminalizing Harmless Attempts*, 53 Ohio St.L.J. 1057 (1992), 685
- Crump, David, *"Murder, Pennsylvania Style": Comparing Traditional American Homicide Law to the Statutes of Model Penal Code Jurisdictions*, 109 W.Va.L.Rev. 257 (2007), 307
- Cruz, David B., *Spinning Lawrence, or Lawrence v. Texas and the Promotion of Heterosexuality*, 11 Widener L.Rev. 249 (2005), 90
- Dan-Cohen, Meir, *Basic Values and the Victim's State of Mind*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 759 (2000), 588
- Dan-Cohen, Meir, *Decision Rules and Conduct Rules: An Acoustic Separation in Criminal Law*, 97 Harv. L.Rev. 625 (1984), 61
- Davies, Sharon L., *The Jurisprudence of Wilfulness: An Evolving Theory of Excusable Ignorance*, 48 Duke L.J. 341 (1998), 212
- Decker, John F., *The Mental State Requirement for Accomplice Liability in American Criminal Law*, 60 S.C.L.Rev. 237 (2008), 829
- Delgado, Richard, *Ascription of Criminal States of Mind: Toward a Defense Theory for the Coercively Persuaded ("Brainwashed") Defendant*, 63 Minn. L.Rev. 1 (1978), 229
- Delgado, Richard, *"Rotten Social Background": Should the Criminal Law Recognize a Defense of Severe Environmental Deprivation?*, 3 Law & Ineq. J. 9 (1985), 455
- Dillof, Anthony M., *Unraveling Unknowing Justification*, 77 Notre Dame L.Rev. 1547 (2002), 568
- Dix, George, *Mental Illness, Criminal Intent, and the Bifurcated Trial*, 1970 Law & Soc.Order 559, p. 429
- Dressler, Joshua, *Does One Mens Rea Fit All? Thoughts on Alexander's Unified Conception of Criminal Culpability*, 88 Calif.L.Rev. 955 (2000), 167
- Dressler, Joshua, *Exegesis of the Law of Duress: Justifying the Excuse and Searching for its Proper Limits*, 62 So.Cal.L.Rev. 1331 (1989), 571
- Dressler, Joshua, *Professor Delgado's "Brainwashing" Defense: Courting a Deterministic Legal System*, 63 Minn.L.Rev. 335 (1979), 229
- Dressler, Joshua, *Reassessing the Theoretical Underpinnings of Accomplice Liability: New Solutions to an Old Problem*, 37 Hastings L.J. 91 (1985), 823
- Dressler, Joshua, *When "Heterosexual" Men Kill "Homosexual" Men: Reflections on Provocation Law, Sexual Advances, and the "Reasonable Man" Standard*, 85 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 726 (1995), 290
- Dressler, Joshua, *Where We Have Been, and Where We Might be Going: Some Cautionary Reflections on Rape Law Reform*, 46 Clev.St.L.Rev. 409 (1998), 604, 611, 622, 623, 629, 653, 658
- Dressler, Joshua, *Why Keep the Provocation Defense?: Some Reflections on a Difficult Subject*, 86 Minn. L.Rev. 959 (2002), 292
- Dripps, Donald A., *Beyond Rape: An Essay on the Difference Between the Presence of Force and the Absence of Consent*, 92 Colum.L.Rev. 1780 (1992), 613, 633, 658
- Dubber, Markus Dirk, *Policing Possession: The War on Crime and the End of Criminal Law*, 91 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 829, 836 (2001), 238
- Duff, R.A., *Recklessness*, 1980 Crim.L.Rev. 282, p. 170
- Edwards, *The Criminal Degrees of Knowledge*, 17 Modern L.Rev. 294, 298 (1954), 146
- Elliott, D.W., *Offences Against the Person—Murder*, 1997 Crim.L.Rev. 70, pp. 316, 319
- Elliott, Tracey, *Body Dysmorphic Disorder, Radical Surgery and the Limits of Consent*, 17 Med.L.Rev. 149 (2009), 589

- English, Peter, *Homicides Other than Murder*, 1977 *Crim.L.Rev.* 79, p. 320
- English, Peter, *What Did Section Three do to the Law of Provocation?*, 1970 *Crim.L.Rev.* 249, p. 283
- Enker, Arnold N., *Impossibility in Criminal Attempts—Legality and the Legal Process*, 53 *Minn.L.Rev.* 665 (1969), 700, 701
- Enker, Arnold N., *Mens Rea and Criminal Attempt*, 1977 *A.B.F.Res.J.* 845, p. 668
- Entzeroth, Lyn Suzanne, *The Illusion of Sanity: The Constitutional and Moral Danger of Medicating Condemned Prisoners in Order to Execute Them*, 76 *Tenn.L.Rev.* 641, 659 (2009), 401
- Epstein, Richard A., *Living Dangerously: A Defense of Mortal Peril*, 1998 *U.Ill.L.Rev.* 909, p. 584
- Erickson, Nancy S., *Final Report: "Sex Bias in the Teaching of Criminal Law,"* 42 *Rutgers L.Rev.* 309 (1990), 621
- Erickson, Nancy S., *Sex Bias in Law School Courses: Some Common Issues*, 38 *J. Legal Ed.* 101 (1988), 621
- Erlinder, C. Peter, *Paying the Price for Vietnam: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Criminal Behavior*, 25 *B.C.L.Rev.* 305 (1984), 229
- Eskridge, William N. Jr., *Challenging the Apartheid of the Closet: Establishing Conditions for Lesbian and Gay Intimacy, Nomos, and Citizenship*, 1961–1981, 25 *Hofstra L.Rev.* 817, 852 (1997), 50
- Eskridge, William N., *Hardwick and Historiography*, 1999 *U.Ill.L.Rev.* 631, p. 31
- Estrich, Susan, *Rape*, 95 *Yale L.J.* 1087 (1986), 598, 603, 605, 606, 612, 622, 627, 629, 655, 656
- Estrich, Susan, *Teaching Rape Law*, 102 *Yale L.J.* 509 (1992), 594, 595, 652
- Falk, Patricia J., *Rape by Fraud and Rape by Coercion*, 64 *Brook.L.Rev.* 39 (1998), 610, 611, 614, 625
- Farahany, Nita A. & James E. Coleman, Jr., *Genetics and Responsibility: To Know the Criminal From the Crime*, 69 *Law & Contemp.Probs.* 15 (Spring 2006), 447
- Fentiman, Linda, *"Guilty But Mentally Ill": The Real Verdict is Guilty*, 26 *B.C.L.Rev.* 1601 (1985), 431
- Ferzan, Kimberly K., *Beyond Intention*, 29 *Cardozo L.Rev.* 1147 (2008), 316
- Ferzan, Kimberly Kessler, *Defending Imminence: From Battered Women to Iraq*, 46 *Ariz.L.Rev.* 213 (2004), 527
- Ferzan, Kimberly Kessler, *Opaque Recklessness*, 91 *J.Crim.L. & Criminology* 597, 652 (2001), 170
- Filvaroff, David B., *Conspiracy and the First Amendment*, 121 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 189 (1972), 756
- Finkelstein, Claire, *The Inefficiency of Mens Rea*, 88 *Calif.L.Rev.* 895 (2000), 315
- Finn, Peter, *Bias Crime: Difficult to Define, Difficult to Prosecute*, 3 *Crim.Just.* 19 (Summer 1998), 452
- Fletcher, George P., *On the Moral Irrelevance of Bodily Movements*, 142 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 1443 (1994), 254
- Fontaine, Reid G., *Adequate (Non)provocation and Heat of Passion as Excuse Not Justification*, 43 *U.Mich.J.L.Ref.* 27 (2009), 286
- Fontaine, Reid G., *The Wrongfulness of Wrongly Interpreting Wrongfulness: Provocation, Interpretational Bias, and Heat of Passion Homicide*, 12 *New Crim.L.Rev.* 69 (2009), 285
- Fradella, Henry F., *From Insanity to Beyond Diminished Capacity: Mental Illness and Criminal Excuse in the Post-Clark Era*, 18 *U.Fla.J.L. & Pub.Pol'y* 7 (2007), 450
- Franke, Katherine M., *The Domesticated Liberty of Lawrence v. Texas*, 104 *Colum.L.Rev.* 1399, (2004), 87, 93
- Gardner, Marvin R., *Rethinking Robinson v. California in the Wake of Jones v. Los Angeles: Avoiding the "Demise of the Criminal Law" by Attending to "Punishment,"* 98 *J.Crim.L. & Criminology* 429 (2008), 469
- Garfield, Leslie Y., *A More Principled Approach to Criminalizing Negligence: A Presumption for the Legislature*, 65 *Tenn. L.Rev.* 875 (1998), 160
- Garvey, Stephen P., *What's Wrong with Involuntary Manslaughter?*, 85 *Tex.L.Rev.* 333 (2006), 324
- Gobert, James J., *The Fortuity of Consequence*, 4 *Crim. L.F.* 1 (1993), 358, 359
- Goldberg, George, *Misprision of Felony: An Old Concept in a New Context*, 52 *A.B.A.J.* 148 (1966), 920
- Goldstein, Abraham S., *Conspiracy to Defraud the United States*, 68 *Yale L.J.* 405 (1959), 754
- Goldstein, Joseph, & Jay Katz, *Abolish the "Insanity Defense"—Why Not?*, 72 *Yale L.J.* 853 (1963), 402
- Green, Stuart P., *Castles and Carjackers: Proportionality and the Use of Deadly Force in Defense of Dwellings and Vehicles*, 1999 *U.Ill.L.Rev.* 1, p. 535
- Green, Stuart P., *Looting, Law, and Lawlessness*, 81 *Tul.L.Rev.* 1129 (2007), 568
- Greenawalt, Kent, *Dignity and Victimhood*, 88 *Calif. L.Rev.* 779 (2000), 588
- Greenawalt, Kent, *Distinguishing Justifications from Excuses*, 49 *Law & Contemp.Probs.* 89 (Summer 1986), 503
- Hall, Jerome, *Negligent Behavior Should Be Excluded from Penal Liability*, 63 *Colo. L.Rev.* 632 (1963), 157
- Harel, Alon, *Victims and Perpetrators: The Case Against a Unified Theory of Comparative Liability in Criminal Law*, 8 *Buff. L.Rev.* 489, 490 (2005), 590
- Harno, Albert J., *Intent in Criminal Conspiracy*, 89 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 624 (1941), 713

- Hassett, Patricia, *Absolutism in Causation*, 38 *Syrac. L.Rev.* 683 (1987), 346
- Hazard, Geoffrey C., *Criminal Justice System*, in 2 *Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice* 450 (1983), 31
- Hendin, Herbert & Kathleen Foley, *Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon: A Medical Perspective*, 106 *Mich.L.Rev.* 1613 (2008), 585
- Herden, Cheryl M., *Women in Legal Education: A Feminist Analysis of Law School*, 63 *Rev.Jur.U.P.R.* 551 (1994), 652
- Hicks, Frederick, *Human Jettison* 275–76 (1927), 566
- Hill, Alfred, *Vagueness and Police Discretion: the Supreme Court in a Bog*, 51 *Rutgers U.L.Rev.* 1289 (1999), 62
- Hill, John L., *The Constitutional Status of Morals Legislation*, 98 *Ky.L.J.* 1 (2009), 89
- Hoffman, P. Browning, & Robert C. Dunn, *Beyond Rouse and Wyatt: An Administrative-Law Model for Expanding and Implementing the Mental Patient's Right to Treatment*, 61 *Va.L.Rev.* 297 (1975), 435
- Hughes, Graham, *Criminal Omissions*, 67 *Yale L.J.* 590 (1958), 204
- Hurd, Heidi M., *Blaming the Victim: A Response to the Proposal That Criminal Law Recognize a General Defense of Contributory Responsibility*, 8 *Buff.Crim. L.Rev.* 503 (2005), 591
- Husak, Douglas, *Comparative Fault in Criminal Law: Conceptual and Normative Perplexities*, 8 *Buff.Crim. L.Rev.* 523, 531 (2005), 591
- Husak, Douglas N., *Justifications and the Criminal Liability of Accessories*, 80 *J.Crim.L. & Criminology* 491 (1989), 866
- Imwinkelreid, Edward J., *A More Modest Proposal Than a Common Law for the Age of Statutes: Greater Reliance in Statutory Interpretation on the Concept of Interpretive Intention*, 68 *Alb.L.Rev.* 949, 952 (2005), 40, 41
- Jeffries, John Calvin, Jr., *Legality, Vagueness, and the Construction of Penal Statutes*, 71 *Va.L.Rev.* 189 (1985) 41, 68
- Johnson, Eric A., *Beyond Belief: Rethinking the Role of Belief in the Assessment of Culpability*, 3 *Ohio St.J.Crim.L.* 503 (2006), 193
- Johnson, Eric A., *Criminal Liability for Loss of a Chance*, 91 *Iowa L.Rev.* 59 (2005), 821
- Johnson, Marcia, *Genetic Technology and Its Impact on Culpability for Criminal Actions*, 46 *Clev.St.L.Rev.* 443 (1998), 446
- Johnson, Phillip E., *The Unnecessary Crime of Conspiracy*, 61 *Calif.L.Rev.* 1137 (1973), 768, 802
- Kadish, Sanford H., *Fifty Years of Criminal Law: An Opinionated Review*, 87 *Calif.L.Rev.* 943 (1999), 455, 465
- Kadish, Sanford H., *Reckless Complicity*, 87 *J.Crim.L. & Criminology* 369 (1997), 842
- Kahan, Dan M., *Culture, Cognition, and Consent: Who Perceives What, and Why, in Acquaintance Rape Cases?*, 158 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 729 (2010), 630
- Kahan, Dan M., *Ignorance of the Law is an Excuse—But Only for the Virtuous*, 96 *Mich. L.Rev.* 127 (1997), 199
- Kahan, Dan M., *Is Chevron Relevant to Federal Criminal Law?*, 110 *Harv.L.Rev.* 469 (1996), 46
- Kahan, Dan M., *Some Realism About Retroactive Criminal Lawmaking*, 3 *Roger Williams U.L.Rev.* 95 (1997), 66
- Kamisar, Yale, *Physician Assisted Suicide: The Problems Presented by the Compelling, Heartwrenching Case*, 88 *J.Crim.L. & Criminology* 1121 (1998), 584
- Karon, Daniel R., *Winning Isn't Everything, It's the Only Thing: Violence in Professional Sports: The Need for Federal Regulation and Criminal Sanctions*, 25 *Ind.L.Rev.* 147 (1991), 587
- Katyal, Neal Kumar, *Conspiracy Theory*, 112 *Yale L.J.* 1307 (2003), 803
- Katz, Leo, *Blackmail and Other Forms of Arm-Twisting*, 141 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 1567 (1993), 537
- Katz, Leo, *Proximate Cause in Michael Moore's Act and Crime*, 142 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 1513 (1994), 223
- Keedy, Edwin R., *Criminal Attempts at Common Law*, 102 *U.Pa.L.Rev.* 464 (1954), 699
- Kelman, Mark, *Interpretive Construction in the Substantive Criminal Law*, 33 *Stan.L.Rev.* 591 (1981), 61, 703, 710
- Kennan, Brian, *Evolutionary Biology and Strict Liability for Rape*, 22 *Law & Psych. Rev.* 131 (1998), 655
- Kitrosser, Heidi, *Meaningful Consent: Towards a New Generation of Statutory Rape Laws*, 4 *Va.J.Soc.Pol'y & L.* 287 (1997), 603, 645
- Klein, Richard, *An Analysis of Thirty-Five Years of Rape Reform: A Frustrating Search for Fundamental Fairness*, 41 *Akron L.Rev.* 981 (2008), 597
- Krause, Joan H., *Distorted Reflections of Battered Women Who Kill: A Response to Professor Dressler*, 4 *Ohio St.J.Crim.L.* 555 (2007), 576
- Krent, Harold J., *Should Bouie be Buoyed?: Judicial Retroactive Lawmaking and the Ex Post Facto Clause*, 3 *Roger Williams U.L.Rev.* 35 (1997), 67, 68
- Kubasek, Nancy, *The Case Against Prosecution for Prenatal Drug Abuse*, 8 *Tex.J.Women & L.* 167 (1999), 266
- Landis, James M., *A Note on "Statutory Interpretation,"* 43 *Harv.L.Rev.* 886 (1930), 42
- LaRue, Janet M., & Rory K. Nugent, *Williams v. State: The Constitutionality and Necessity of Sodomy Laws*, 29 *U.Balt.L.F.* 6 (1999), 88
- Leflar, Robert, *Statutory Construction: The Sound Law Approach* (remarks), 43
- Leigh, L.H., *Sado-Masochism, Consent, and the Reform of the Criminal Law*, 39 *Mod. L.Rev.* 130 (1976), 588

- Leonard, Arthur S., *Thoughts on Lawrence v. Texas*, 11 Widener L.Rev. 131, 182 (2005), 89
- Lerblance, Penn, *Impeding Unlawful Arrest: A Question of Authority and Criminal Liability*, 61 Denver L.J. 655 (1984), 553
- Leslie, Christopher R., *Creating Criminals: The Injuries Inflicted by "Unenforced" Sodomy Laws*, 35 Harv.C.R.-C.L.L.Rev. 103 (2000), 50
- Levenson, Laurie L., *Good Faith Defenses: Reshaping Strict Liability Crimes*, 78 Cornell L.Rev. 401 (1993), 185
- Levi, Edward H., *An Introduction to Legal Reasoning*, 15 U.Chi.L.Rev. 501 (1948), 40, 42
- Levine, Kay L., *No Penis, No Problem*, 33 Fordham U.L.J. 357 (2006), 645
- Levy, Ken, *Killing, Letting Die, and the Case for Mildly Punishing Bad Samaritanism*, 44 Ga.L.Rev. 607 (2010), 255
- Livermore and Meehl, *The Virtues of M'Naghten*, 51 Minn.L.Rev. 789 (1967), 408
- Lloyd-Bostick, Sally, & Cheryl Thomas, *Decline of the "Little Parliament": Juries and Jury Reform in England and Wales*, 62 Law & Contemp.Probs. 7 (Spring 1999), 320
- Lockwood, Christina D., *Defining Indefiniteness: Suggested Revisions to the Void for Vagueness Doctrine*, 8 Cardozo Pub.L.Pol'y & Ethics J. 255 (2010), 63
- Loewy, Arnold H., *Statutory Rape in a Post Lawrence v. Texas World*, 58 SMU L.Rev. 77, 78-79 (2005), 90, 91
- Louisell, David W., & Geoffrey C. Hazard, *Insanity as a Defense: The Bifurcated Trial*, 49 Calif.L.Rev. 805 (1961), 429
- Lunde, Donald T., & Thomas E. Wilson, *Brainwashing as a Defense to Criminal Liability: Patty Hearst Revisited*, 13 Crim.L.Bull. 341 (1977), 228
- Marcus, Paul, *Criminal Conspiracy Law: Time to Turn Back from an Ever Expanding, Ever More Troubling Area*, 1 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts.J. 1 (1992), 715, 799
- Marcus, Paul, *Criminal Conspiracy: The State of Mind Crime—Intent, Proving Intent, and Anti-Federal Intent*, 1976 U.Ill.L.F. 627, p. 738
- Martin, Shaun P., *Intracorporate Conspiracies*, 50 Stan. L.Rev. 399 (1998), 801
- McMunigal, Kevin C., *Reducing the Risk to Realizing the Rewards: An Approach to Teaching Rape Law*, 34 San Diego L.Rev. 519 (1997), 652, 656
- McVisk, William, *Toward a Rational Theory of Criminal Liability for the Corporate Executive*, 69 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 75 (1978), 906
- Michaels, Alan C., *Constitutional Innocence*, 112 Harv. L.Rev. 828 (1999), 186
- Mickenberg, Ira, *A Pleasant Surprise: The Guilty but Mentally Ill Verdict Has Both Succeeded in Its Own Right and Successfully Preserved the Traditional Role of the Insanity Defense*, 55 U.Cin.L.Rev. 943 (1987), 431
- Mickenberg, Ira, *Competency to Stand Trial and the Mentally Retarded Defendant*, 17 Cal.W.L.Rev. 365 (1981), 389
- Miller, Samuel, *Corporate Criminal Liability: A Principle Extended to Its Limits*, 38 Fed. B.J. 49 (1979), 895
- Misner, Robert, *Minimalism, Desuetude, and Fornication*, 35 Willamette L.Rev. 1 (1999), 50
- Misner, Robert, *The New Attempt Laws: Unsuspected Threat to the Fourth Amendment*, 33 Stan.L.Rev. 201 (1981), 684
- Moore, Michael S., *Causing, Aiding, and the Superfluity of Accomplice Liability*, 156 U.Pa.L.Rev. 395 (2007), 823, 832
- Moreland, Roy, *A Re-Examination of the Law of Homicide in 1971: The Model Penal Code*, 59 Ky.L.J. 788 (1971), 336
- Moriarty, Daniel G., *Extending the Defense of Renunciation*, 62 Temple L.Rev. 1 (1989), 707, 709
- Morris, Grant H., & J. Reid Meloy, *Out of Mind? Out of Sight: The Uncivil Commitment of Permanently Incompetent Criminal Defendants*, 27 U.C.Davis L.Rev. 1 (1993), 398
- Morse, Stephen J., *Addiction, Genetics, and Criminal Responsibility*, 60 Law & Contemp.Probs. 165 (Winter/Spring 2006), 476
- Morse, Stephen J. & Morris B. Hoffman, *The Uneasy Entente Between Legal Insanity and Mens Rea: Beyond Clark v. Arizona*, 97 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 1071 (2007), 444
- Muehlenhard Charlene L. & Hollabaugh Lisa C., *Do Women Sometimes Say No When They Mean Yes? The Prevalence and Correlates of Token Resistance To Sex*, 54 J. Person & Soc. Psychol. 872 (1988), 629
- Murphy, Arthur A., & John P. Ellington, *Homosexuality and the Law: Tolerance and Containment*, 97 Dick.L.Rev. 693 (1993), 88
- Newton, Brent E., *The Antiquated "Slight Evidence Rule" in Federal Conspiracy Cases*, 1 J.App.Prac. & Process 49 (1999), 721
- Noferi, Mark, *Toward Attenuation: A "New" Due Process Limit on Pinkerton Conspiracy Liability*, 33 Am.J.Crim.L. 91 (2006), 847
- Norrie, Alan, *After Woollin*, 1999 Crim.L.Rev. 532, p. 316
- Nourse, V.F., *Self-Defense and Subjectivity*, 68 U.Chi. L.Rev. 1235 (2001), 525
- Ohlin, Jens David, *Group Think: The Law of Conspiracy and Collective Reason*, 98 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 147 (2007), 847
- Orentlicher, David, *The Alleged Distinction Between Euthanasia and the Withdrawal of Life-Sustaining Treatment: Conceptually Incoherent and Impossible to Maintain*, 1998 U.Ill.L.Rev. 837, p. 583
- O'Connor, D., *The Voluntary Act*, 15 Med. Sci.Law 31 (1975), 226

- O'Keefe, David F., & Marc H. Shapiro, *Personal Criminal Liability Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act*, 30 Food Drug Cosm.L.J. 5 (1975), 905
- Packer, Herbert, *Mens Rea and the Supreme Court*, 1962 Sup.Ct.Rev. 107, pp. 182, 183, 186
- Parker, Kathleen, *Rape California—Style is a Woman's Prerogative*, Orlando Sentinel, Jan. 12, 2003, p. 634
- Paulsen, Monrad G., *Intoxication as a Defense to Crime*, 1961 U.Ill.L.F. 1, pp. 490, 495
- Pedone, Joanne, *Filling the Void: Model Legislation for Fetal Homicide Crimes*, 43 Colum.J.L. & Soc.Probs. 77 (2009), 263
- Pomorski, Stanislaw, *On Multiculturalism, Concepts of Crime, and the "De Minimis" Defense*, 1997 B.Y.U.L.Rev. 51, p. 454
- Reed, Alan, *Duress and Provocation as Excuses to Murder: Salutory Lessons from Recent Anglo-American Jurisprudence*, 6 J.Trans.L. & Pol'y 51 (1996), 570
- Remington, Frank J., & Orrin L. Helstad, *The Mental Element in Crime—A Legislative Problem*, 1952 Wis. L.Rev. 644, pp. 120, 182, 323
- Remington, Frank J., & Victor G. Rosenblum, *The Criminal Law and the Legislative Process*, 1960 U.Ill.L.F. 481, p. 47
- Report of the Council to the Membership of the American Law Institute on the Matter of the Death Penalty* 5 (April 15, 2009), 382
- Robbins, Ira P., *Double Inchoate Crimes*, 26 Harv.J.Legis. 1 (1989), 686, 689
- Robinson, *Criminal Law Defenses: A Systematic Analysis*, 82 Colum.L.Rev. 199 (1982), 438
- Robinson, David, Jr., *Powell v. Texas: The Case of the Intoxicated Shoeshine Man—Some Reflections a Generation Later by a Participant*, 265 Am.J.Crim.L. 401 (1999), 466
- Roche, P., *The Criminal Mind* 87 (1958), 437
- Rosen, Richard A., *Self-Defense, Imminence, and Women Who Kill Their Batterers*, 71 N.C.L.Rev. 371 (1993), 527
- Rosenberg, Benjamin E., *Several Problems in Criminal Conspiracy Laws and Some Proposals for Reform*, 43 Crim.L.Bull. 427 (2007), 763
- Roth, Nelson E., & Scott E. Sundby, *The Felony-Murder Rule: A Doctrine at Constitutional Crossroads*, 70 Cornell L.Rev. 446 (1985), 332, 336
- Ruskota, Teemu, *Gay Rights versus Queer Theory: What is Left of Sodomy after Lawrence v. Texas*, 23 Social Text (Fall-Winter 2005), 86
- Sachs, Joshua, *Is Attempt to Commit Voluntary Manslaughter a Possible Crime?*, 71 Ill.B.J. 166 (1982), 667
- Saltzburg, Stephen, *Burdens of Persuasion in Criminal Cases: Harmonizing the Views of the Justices*, 20 Am.Crim.L.Rev. 393 (1983), 245
- Samuels, Alec, *Excusable Loss of Self-Control in Homicide*, 34 Mod.L.Rev. 163 (1971), 283
- Sayre, *Criminal Responsibility for the Acts of Another*, 43 Harv.L.Rev. 689 (1930), 839, 890
- Sayre, Francis B., *Criminal Conspiracy*, 35 Harv.L.Rev. 393 (1922), 713
- Sayre, Francis B., *Public Welfare Offenses*, 33 Colum. L.Rev. 55 (1933), 185
- Schroeder, Christopher H., *Two Methods for Evaluating Duty to Rescue Proposals*, 49 Law & Contemp. Probs. 181 (1986), 255
- Schulhofer, Stephen J., *Taking Sexual Autonomy Seriously: Rape Law and Beyond*, 11 Law & Phil. 35 (1992), 614
- Schulhofer, Stephen J., *The Feminist Challenge in Criminal Law*, 143 U.Pa.L.Rev. 2151 (1995), 611, 613, 614, 630
- Schwartz, Louis B., *Federal Criminal Jurisdiction and Prosecutors' Discretion*, 13 Law & Contemp. Prob. 64 (1948), 108
- Scutt, Jocelynn A., *Fraudulent Impersonation and Consent in Rape*, 9 U.Queens.L.J. 59 (1975), 635
- Seidman, Ilene & Susan Vickers, *The Second Wave: An Agenda for the Next Thirty Years of Rape Law Reform*, 38 Suffolk U.L.Rev. 467 (2005), 597
- Seligsohn, Andrew, *Choosing Liberty Over Equality and Sacrificing Both: Equal Protection and Due Process in Lawrence v. Texas*, 10 Cardozo Women's L.J. 411 (2004), 95
- Simons, Kenneth W., *Self-Defense, Reasonable Belief, or Reasonable Self-Control?*, 11 New Crim.L.Rev. 51 (2008), 510
- Simons, Kenneth W., *The Relevance of Victim Conduct in Tort and Criminal Law*, 8 Buff. Crim.L.Rev. 541 (2005), 590
- Singer, Richard G., *The Proposed Duty to Inquire as Affected by Recent Criminal Law Decisions in the United States Supreme Court*, 3 Buff.Crim.L.Rev. 701 (2000), 200
- Skegg, P.D.G., *'Informed Consent' to Medical Procedures*, 15 Med.Sci.Law 125 (1975), 588
- Skilton, Robert H., *The Requisite Act in a Criminal Attempt*, 3 U.Pitt.L.Rev. 308 (1937), 678
- Slobogin, Christopher, & Amy Mashburn, *The Criminal Defense Lawyer's Fiduciary Duty to Clients With Mental Disability*, 68 Fordham L.Rev. 1581 (2000), 400
- Smith, John C., *Subjective or Objective? Ups and Downs of the Test of Criminal Liability in England*, 27 Vill.L.Rev. 1179 (1982), 170
- Smith, John C. & Brian Hogan, *Criminal Law* 149 (1965), 670
- Smith, K.J.M., *A Case of Reckless Murder*, 123 New L.J. 792 (1973), 315
- Solan, Lawrence M., *Private Language, Public Laws: The Central Role of Legislative Intent in Statutory Interpretation*, 93 Geo. L.J. 427, 476 (2005), 40
- Spindelman, Marc, *Surviving Lawrence v. Texas*, 102 Mich.L.Rev. 1615, (2004), 94

- Spohn, Cassia C., *The Rape Movement: The Traditional Common Law and Rape Law Reforms*, 39 *Jurimetrics J.* 119 (1999), 597
- Stacy, Tom, *Acts, Omissions, and the Necessity of Killing Innocents*, 29 *Am.J.Crim.L.* 481 (2002), 568
- Stark, Emily L., *Get a Room: Sexual Device Statutes and the Legal Closeting of Sexual Identity*, 20 *Geo.Mason U.Civ.Rts.L.J.* 315 (2010), 92
- Stith, Kate, & Jose A. Cabranes, *Judging Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 91 *Nw.U.L.Rev.* 1247 (1997), p. 28
- Stone, *The Insanity Defense on Trial*, 33 *Hosp. & Community Psychiatry* 636 (1982), 419
- Strahilevitz, Lior J., *Consent, Aesthetics, and the Boundaries of Sexual Privacy After Lawrence v. Texas* 54 *DePaul L.Rev.* 671 (2005), 87
- Stuart, Donald, *The Actus Reus in Attempts*, 1970 *Crim.L.Rev.* 505, pp. 681, 684
- Sullivan, G. R., *Self Induced and Recurring Automatism*, 123 *New L.J.* 1093 (1973), 225
- Sunstein, Cass, *Liberty After Lawrence*, 65 *Ohio St.L.J.* 1059, 1062–63 (2004), 86, 91, 92, 93
- Taslitz, Andrew E., *Willfully Blinded: On Date Rape and Self-Deception*, 28 *Harv.J.L. & Gender* 381, 399 (2005), 659
- Temkin, Jennifer, *The Limits of Reckless Rape*, 1983 *Crim.L.Rev.* 5, p. 653
- Tomkovicz, James J., *On Teaching Rape: Reasons, Risks, and Rewards*, 102 *Yale L.J.* 481 (1992), 652
- Tomkovicz, James J., *The Endurance of the Felony–Murder Rule: A Study of the Forces that Shape Our Criminal Law*, 51 *Wash. & Lee L.Rev.* 1429 (1994), 336
- Trachtenberg, Ben, *Coconspirators, “Coventurers,” and the Exception Swallowing the Hearsay Rule*, 61 *Hastings L.J.* 581 (2010), 714
- Traynor, Roger J., *Quo Vadis, Prospective Overruling: A Question of Judicial Responsibility*, 50 *Hastings L.J.* 771 (1999), 749
- Treiman, David M., *Recklessness and the Model Penal Code*, 9 *Am.J.Crim.L.* 281 (1981), 164
- Tribe, Laurence H., *The “Fundamental Right” That Dare Not Speak Its Name*, 117 *Harv. L.Rev.* 1893 (2004), 95
- Turner, J.W.C., *The Mental Element in Crimes at Common Law*, 6 *Camb.L.J.* 31 (1938), 120
- Uviller, Richard, *Seizure by Gunshot: The Riddle of the Fleeing Felon*, 14 *N.Y.U.Rev.L. & Soc.Chg.* 705 (1986), 545, 546
- Waldman, Ellen & Marybeth Herald, *Eyes Wide Shut: Erasing Women’s Experiences from the Clinic to the Courtroom*, 28 *Harv. J.L. & Gender* 285, 304–05 (2005), 91
- Wechsler, Herbert, *The American Law Institute: Some Observations on Its Model Penal Code*, 42 *A.B.A.J.* 321 (1956), 36
- Weigand, Thomas, *Why Lady Eldon Should be Acquitted: The Social Harm in Attempting the Impossible*, 27 *DePaul L.Rev.* 231 (1977), 701
- Weiss, Amanda, *Criminalizing Consensual Transmission of HIV*, 2006 *U.Chi.Legal F.* 389–90, p. 589
- Wertheimer, Alan, *What is Consent? And is It Important?*, 3 *Buff.Crim.L.Rev.* 557 (2000), 632
- Westen, Peter, *Egelhoff Again*, 36 *Am.Crim. L.Rev.* 1203 (1999), 486
- Westen, Peter, *Impossibility Attempts: A Speculative Thesis*, 5 *Ohio St.J.Crim.L.* 523 (2008), 703
- Wexler, David B., *An Offense–Victim Approach to Insanity Defense Reform*, 26 *Ariz.L.Rev.* 18 (1984), 419
- Weyrauch, Samantha, *Acceptance of Whole–Brain Death Criteria for Determination of Death: A Comparative Analysis of the United States and Japan*, 17 *U.C.L.A.Pac.Basin L.J.* 91 (1999), 267
- Wiley, John S. Jr., *Not Guilty by Reason of Blamelessness: Culpability in Federal Criminal Interpretation*, 85 *Va.L.Rev.* 1021 (1999), 184
- Williams, Glanville, *Comments on Blaue*, 35 *Camb.L.J.* 15 (1976), 358
- Willis, Kyli L., *Willis v. State: Condoning Child Abuse as Discipline*, 14 *U.C.Davis J.Juv.L. & Pol’y* 59 (2010), 559
- Winick, Bruce J., *Presumptions and Burden of Proof In Determining Competency to Stand Trial*, 47 *U. Miami L.Rev.* 817 (1993), 391
- Winick, Bruce J., *Restructuring Competency to Stand Trial*, 32 *U.C.L.A.L.Rev.* 921 (1985), 400
- Wright, R. George, *The Progressive Logic of Criminal Responsibility and the Circumstances of the Most Deprived*, 43 *Cath. U.L.Rev.* 459 (1994), 456
- Yochum, Mark D., *Cheek is Chic: Ignorance of the Law is an Excuse for Tax Crimes—A Fashion That Does Not Wear Well*, 31 *Duq. L.Rev.* 249 (1993), 209

Student–Authored Works

- Comment, 55 *Ala.L.Rev.* 183 (2003), 922
- Comment, 61 *Alb.L.Rev.* 237 (1997), 638
- Comment, 1975 *Crim.L.Rev.* 584 (1975), 866
- Comment, 27 *Cumb.L.Rev.* 1279 (1997), 267
- Comment, 85 *Dick.L.Rev.* 289 (1981), 431
- Comment, 45 *Fordham L.Rev.* 553 (1976), 94
- Comment, 17 *Ga.L.Rev.* 539 (1983), 784

- Comment, 81 Harv.L.Rev. 1339 (1968), 588
 Comment, 93 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 681 (2003), 905
 Comment, 95 J.Crim.L. & Criminology 277 (2004), 634
 Comment, 39 J.Crim.L. 264 (1975), 652
 Comment, 13 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 495 (2010), 133
 Comment, 89 Marq.L.Rev. 693 (2006), 643
 Comment, 20 No.Ill.U.L.Rev. 219 (2000), 686, 690
 Comment, 7 Pace. Int'l L.Rev. 207 (1995), 653
 Comment, 34 Stetson L.Rev. 729 (2005), 634
 Comment, 47 Tulane L.Rev. 1017 (1973), 755
 Comment, 33 U.C.L.A.L.Rev. 1679 (1986), 292
 Comment, 29 U.C.L.A.L.Rev. 409 (1981), 566
 Comment, 54 U.Chi.L.Rev. 1070 (1987), 563
 Comment, 62 U.Chi.L.Rev. 1301 (1995), 211
 Comment, 65 U.Chi.L.Rev. 1251 (1998), 643
 Comment, 48 U.Kan.L.Rev. 1047 (2000), 332
 Comment, 7 U.Pa.J.Const.L. 311 (2004), 401
 Comment, 121 U.Pa.L.Rev. 120 (1972), 73
 Comment, 139 U.Pa.L.Rev. 1123 (1991), 888
 Comment, 141 U.Pa.L.Rev. 1103 (1993), 631
 Comment, 142 U.Pa.L.Rev. 2183 (1994), 537
 Comment, 143 U.Pa.L.Rev. 2251 (1995), 454
 Comment, 39 Wayne L.Rev. 1299 (1993), 228
 Comment, 1956 Wis.L.Rev. 641, p. 184
Developments in the Law—Corporate Crime, 92 Harv.L.Rev. 1227 (1979), 895
Developments in the Law—Criminal Conspiracy, 72 Harv.L.Rev. 920 (1959), 760
Developments in the Law—Sexual Orientation and the Law, 102 Harv.L.Rev. 1508 (1989), 290, 452
Developments in the Law—The Law of Mental Illness, 121 Harv.L.Rev. 1156 (2008), 487
 Note, 23 Alaska L.Rev. 139 (2006), 159
 Note, 18 Am.J.Trial Adv. 657 (1995), 639
 Note, 20 Am.J.Trial Adv. 381 (1997), 439
 Note, 41 Ariz.L.Rev. 193 (1999), 501
 Note, 46 B.C.L.Rev. 149 (2004), 227
 Note, 53 Clev.St.L.Rev. 161 (2005), 634
 Note, 47 Colum.L.Rev. 1332 (1947), 34
 Note, 75 Colum.L.Rev. 1122 (1975), 755, 762, 766, 786, 787, 789, 797, 799
 Note, 75 Colum.L.Rev. 1517 (1975), 183, 186
 Note, 78 Colum.L.Rev. 1249 (1978), 346
 Note, 86 Colum.L.Rev. 348 (1986), 294
 Note, 93 Colum.L.Rev. 1223 (1993), 429
 Note, 48 Drake L.Rev. 741 (2000), 262
 Note, 57 Duke L.J. 425 (2007), 318
 Note, 58 Duke L.J. 1825 (2009), 96, 100
 Note, 66 Fordham L.Rev. 1029 (1997), 887
 Note, 9 Geo.J.Legal Ethics 639 (1996), 389
 Note, 87 Geo.L.J. 2431 (1999), 100
 Note, 62 Harv.L.Rev. 77 (1948), 61
 Note, 99 Harv.L.Rev. 1293 (1986), 453
 Note, 107 Harv.L.Rev. 1437 (1994), 43
 Note, 118 Harv.L.Rev. 2858 (2005), 85
 Note, 119 Harv.L.Rev. 2420 (2006), 41
 Note, 18 Hastings Women's L.J. 269 (2007), 639
 Note, 26 J.Contemp.Health L. & Pol'y 300 (2010), 477
 Note, 80 Mich.L.Rev. 271 (1981), 567
 Note, 70 N.D.L.Rev. 1059 (1994), 236
 Note, 7 Nev.L.J. 183 (2006), 568
 Note, 32 New Engl.L.Rev. 245 (2010), 831
 Note, 64 N.Y.U.L.Rev. 79 (1989), 565
 Note, 14 N.Y.U.L.Rev.L & Soc.Chg. 973 (1986), 88
 Note, 31 Rutgers L.J. 833 (2000), 587
 Note, 51 Rutgers L.Rev. 193 (1998), 292
 Note, 61 So.Cal.L.Rev. 2169 (1988), 820
 Note, 39 Stan.L.Rev. 1173 (1987), 565
 Note, 48 Stan.L.Rev. 677 (1996), 639
 Note, 49 Stan.L.Rev. 111 (1996), 762
 Note, 27 Stetson L.Rev. 655 (1997), 886
 Note, 27 U.Balt.L.Rev. 251 (1977), 133
 Note, 48 U.Cin.L.Rev. 501 (1979), 566
 Note, 1970 U.Ill.L.F. 391, p. 689
 Note, 106 U.Pa.L.Rev. 1021 (1958), 289
 Note, 109 U.Pa.L.Rev. 67 (1960), 62
 Note, 14 Va.Env'tl.L.J. 151 (1994), 448
 Note, 5 Va.J.Soc.Pol'y & L. 213 (1997), 451
 Note, 44 Val.U.L.Rev. 611 (2010), 558
 Note, 39 Vand.J.Transnat'l L. 481 (2006), 639
 Note, 52 Wash.L.Rev. 142 (1976), 796
 Note, 41 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1845 (2000), 262, 263
 Note, 50 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 699 (2008), 451
 Note, 35 Wm.Mitchell L.Rev. 1162 (2009), 501
 Note, 70 Yale L.J. 160 (1960), 701
 Note, 71 Yale L.J. 280 (1961), 894, 895
 Note, 81 Yale L.J. 1342 (1972), 424
 Note, 100 Yale L.J. 2687 (1991), 657, 658
 Note, 101 Yale L.J. 919 (1992), 36
 Note, 106 Yale L.J. 1617 (1997), 133

Newspapers

- Nat'l L.J., July 20, 1987, p. 319
 N.Y. Times, June 17, 1976, p. 13
 N.Y. Times, June 18, 1976, p. 23
 N.Y. Times, June 19, 1976, p. 22
 N.Y. Times, July 3, 1976, p. 24
 N.Y. Times, July 10, 1976, p. 24
 N.Y. Times, Sept. 2, 1976, p. 24
 N.Y. Times, Sept. 15, 1976, p. 25
 N.Y. Times, Sept. 16, 1976, p. 25
 N.Y. Times, Sept. 17, 1976, p. 25
 N.Y. Times, Dec. 7, 1976, p. 25
 N.Y. Times, Jan. 6, 1977, p. 25
 N.Y. Times, July 13, 1977, p. 26
 N.Y. Times, Feb. 7, 1978, p. 26
 N.Y. Times, Oct. 20, 1979, p. 26
 N.Y. Times, May 17, 1981, p. 26
 N.Y. Times, June 22, 1984, p. 26
 N.Y. Times, Oct. 5, 1986, p. 537
 N.Y. Times, March 4, 1987, p. 291
 N.Y. Times, March 24, 1987, p. 291

N.Y. Times, Nov. 17, 1999, p. 501
 N.Y. Times, March 3, 2003, p. 501

Wehrwein, Austin, "*Samaritan*" *Law Poses Difficulties*, Nat'l L.J., Aug. 22, 1983, p. 255

Model Penal Code

[To locate full text of a specific section, see Table of Contents at the beginning of the Appendix]

Code Provisions:

Section 1.03, p. 107
 Section 1.05, p. 36
 Section 1.07, p. 796
 Section 1.10, p. 107
 Section 2.01, pp. 227, 228
 Section 2.02, pp. 113, 120, 122, 123, 144, 147, 148, 149, 158, 160, 164, 170
 Section 2.04, pp. 189, 196, 198, 884
 Section 2.05, p. 186
 Section 2.06, pp. 815, 821, 829, 838, 843, 858, 862, 863, 871, 874
 Section 2.07, pp. 280, 889, 890, 895, 897
 Section 2.08, pp. 486, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497
 Section 2.09, pp. 496, 574, 576, 577
 Section 2.11, p. 586
 Section 2.12, p. 453
 Section 3.02, p. 566
 Section 3.04, pp. 514, 516, 550, 572
 Section 3.05, p. 528
 Section 3.06, pp. 534, 535
 Section 3.07, pp. 514, 546, 548
 Section 3.08, p. 556
 Section 3.09, pp. 515, 534
 Section 3.11, p. 516, 546, 572
 Section 4.01, p. 406
 Section 4.02, p. 439
 Section 4.06, p. 398
 Section 5.01, pp. 672, 684, 707, 822, 865
 Section 5.02, p. 810
 Section 5.03, pp. 755, 766, 786, 787
 Section 5.04, pp. 798, 811, 812
 Section 5.05, pp. 797, 811, 812
 Section 7.01, p. 17
 Section 210.2, p. 336
 Section 210.3, pp. 285, 290
 Section 210.5, pp. 578, 580
 Section 210.6, pp. 371, 376, 382
 Section 211.2, p. 667
 Section 213.6, pp. 640, 643, 661

Revised Commentary (1980, 1985):

Section 2.02, p. 166
 Section 2.03, p. 346
 Section 2.06, pp. 822, 843, 845, 858, 873
 Section 2.09, p. 570
 Art. 3, p. 504
 Section 3.02, p. 572
 Section 4.01, p. 401
 Section 5.01, pp. 668, 684, 699, 702, 822
 Section 5.03, pp. 731, 746, 768
 Section 210.2, pp. 318, 320
 Section 210.3, p. 334
 Section 210.4, p. 324
 Section 210.6, p. 304
 Art. 213, p. 597
 Section 213.1, pp. 606, 612, 625, 627, 639, 646
 Section 213.2, p. 78
 Section 221.1, p. 688
 Section 242.3, pp. 915, 917
 Tent. Draft No. 1 (1953):
 Section 2.04, Comment, p. 838
 Tent. Draft No. 4 (1955):
 Comment at 129–30, pp. 147, 170
 Comment at 204–10, p. 49
 Section 1.13, Comment, p. 277
 Section 2.02, Comments, p. 122
 Section 4.01, pp. 412, 415
 Tent. Draft No. 5 (1956):
 Section 1.08, Comment, p. 796
 Tent. Draft No. 8 (1958):
 Section 3.06, Comment, p. 536
 Tent. Draft No. 9 (1959):
 Comment at 48, p. 285
 Section 201.3, Comment, p. 344
 Section 201.6, Comment, p. 370
 Tent. Draft No. 10 (1960):
 Comment at 25, p. 694
 Comment at 31, p. 694
 Section 5.02, p. 807
 Section 5.03, Comment, p. 724

**MODERN
CRIMINAL LAW
CASES, COMMENTS AND
QUESTIONS**

Fifth Edition

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE TO FIFTH EDITION	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xiii
TABLE OF CASES	lv
TABLE OF OTHER AUTHORITIES	lxxi

CHAPTER 1. PROCESS AND PURPOSES

Sec.

1. The Criminal Justice Process	2
2. The Purposes of the Criminal Law.....	10

CHAPTER 2. THE ABOMINABLE AND DETESTABLE CRIME AGAINST NATURE NOT TO BE NAMED AMONG CHRISTIANS: SOURCES AND LIMITS OF THE CRIMINAL LAW

1. The Common Law	31
2. Criminal Statutes and Their Judicial and Administrative Interpretation	37
3. Statutory Interpretation and the Void-for-Vagueness Doctrine	51
4. Retroactive Application of Detrimental and Beneficial Law	63
5. Other Constitutional Limitations on Defining Criminal Behavior	74
6. Other Constitutional Limitations on Punishment of Crime	96
7. State Authority Versus Federal and Local Authority	102

CHAPTER 3. MENTAL STATE

1. General Considerations	112
2. Intent	117
3. Knowledge	140
4. Recklessness and Negligence	156
5. Strict Liability	175
6. Ignorance or Mistake of Fact or Law	188

CHAPTER 4. THE ACT REQUIREMENT

1. Voluntary Act	213
2. The “Act” of Possession	230
3. Omissions	246

CHAPTER 5. HOMICIDE: USING MENTAL STATE AND OTHER FACTORS TO CLASSIFY CRIMES

1. “Human Being” as the Protected Interest	257
2. Intentional Killing: The “Heat of Passion” Test	268
3. Intentional Killing: The “Deliberate”–“Premeditated” Test	295
4. Reckless and Negligent Killing	309
5. Killing by Unlawful Act	326
6. Causation	345
7. The Death Penalty	365

Sec.		Page
	CHAPTER 6. MENTAL DISEASE OR DEFECT	
1.	Competency to Stand Trial -----	383
2.	Tests for the Insanity “Defense” -----	401
3.	The Insanity Defense—Procedural Aspects -----	419
4.	Diminished Capacity-----	435
5.	The Borderland of Responsibility -----	445
	CHAPTER 7. ALCOHOLISM AND ADDICTION; INTOXICATION; IMMATURITY	
1.	Chronic Alcoholism and Drug Addiction -----	457
2.	Voluntary and Involuntary Intoxication -----	478
3.	Immaturity -----	497
	CHAPTER 8. JUSTIFICATION AND EXCUSE	
1.	Introduction -----	503
2.	Defense of Self and Others -----	505
3.	Defense of Property -----	530
4.	Law Enforcement and Response Thereto -----	538
5.	Domestic Authority -----	555
6.	Duress, Necessity and Choice of Evils -----	560
7.	Victim’s Consent, Conduct and Compromise -----	577
	CHAPTER 9. RAPE: THE DILEMMAS OF LAW REFORM	
1.	Introduction and Overview -----	594
2.	Nature and Degree of Imposition -----	599
3.	Consent/Nonconsent -----	626
4.	Mental State; Mistake of Fact -----	646
	CHAPTER 10. ATTEMPTS	
1.	Mental State -----	662
2.	Acts -----	671
3.	Impossibility -----	691
4.	Abandonment -----	704
5.	Prosecution and Punishment -----	711
	CHAPTER 11. CONSPIRACY AND SOLICITATION	
1.	Conspiracy: Introduction -----	713
2.	The Agreement -----	715
3.	Mental State -----	727
4.	The Objective -----	748
5.	Impossibility -----	756
6.	The Overt Act Requirement -----	760
7.	Scope: The Object Dimension -----	763
8.	Scope: The Party Dimension -----	768
9.	Duration of the Conspiracy -----	780
10.	Withdrawal -----	787
11.	The Plurality Requirement -----	790

Sec.	Page
12. Conspiracy: A Final Look	802
13. Solicitation	804

CHAPTER 12. PARTIES; LIABILITY FOR CONDUCT OF ANOTHER

1. The Common Law Classification	814
2. Acts or Omissions	816
3. Mental State	828
4. The Conspiracy-Complicity Relationship	843
5. Foreseeable or Related Crimes	847
6. Must the Principal Be Guilty?	859
7. Withdrawal	867
8. Exceptions to Accomplice Liability	872
9. Vicarious Liability	875
10. Liability of Organizations, Their Officers and Agents	888
11. Post-Crime Aid	909
APPENDIX: AMERICAN LAW INSTITUTE MODEL PENAL CODE	[1]
INDEX	[93]